

**11/9/79 [1]**

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 11/9/79  
[1]; Container 139

To See Complete Finding Aid:

[http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff\\_Secretary.pdf](http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf)

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Friday - November 9, 1979

---

7:15 Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - The Oval Office.

7:30 Breakfast with Vice President Walter F.  
(90 min.) Mondale, Secretaries Cyrus Vance and  
Harold Brown, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski,  
Mr. Hedley Donovan, and Mr. Hamilton Jordan.  
The Cabinet Room.

10:00 Mr. Hamilton Jordan and Mr. Frank Moore.  
The Oval Office.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

November 9, 1979

*C*  
**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: RICK HUTCHESON *Bill Simon for RH*  
RE: MEMOS NOT SUBMITTED

1. ROUTINE CAB CASES, approved by Cutler, OMB and all agencies:
  - o Docket 36276 cancels Gravenhurst Aviation Limited the authority to engage in charter service between Canada and the U.S.
  - o Dockets 35834 and 34765 give Colibri Aviation Authority Reg'd and Cougar Air, Inc., Canadian firms, permission for charter service between Canada and the U.S.
  - o Docket 35521 would eliminate restrictions on Braniff Airways, Inc. that preclude nonstop flights between Brazil and Argentina.
  - o Dockets 33142, 33166 award an operating certificate to Air Florida, Inc. for foreign charter service.
  - o Dockets 34127 and 34167 authorize Flying Tiger Line, Inc. and Seaboard World Airlines, Inc. to provide freight and mail service between Los Angeles/San Francisco and Montreal/Toronto by way of Chicago.
2. JIM MCINTYRE MEMO informing you of a joint U.S.-Mexican program to improve public management. There will be a continuing exchange between OMB and their counterparts in Mexico. A meeting at the Senior Staff level is being planned to discuss common interests in administrative reform. Further, an informal program is being developed to discuss other matters, including civil service reform implementation and program evaluation.
3. ANNE WEXLER sent you a copy of the 11/8 WASHINGTON POST ad concerning hospital cost containment. She noted that the list of supporting organizations is impressive.
4. HUGH CARTER sent you a note regarding Coach Dodd's chairmanship of the fundraising drive for a new athletic building at GA Tech. Hugh has been approached by the Committee for a joint Carter Family gift. Would you be interested?

5. STU EIZENSTAT MEMO re the FCC Report on Television Programming for Children. The report states that commercial broadcasters are not providing enough educational programming for children. The task force recommends that the FCC issue a rule requiring 7 1/2 hours of weekly educational programming for children (2 1/2 for ages 6-12, 5 for ages 2-5). In 45 days the FCC should be issuing a formal notice of this proposed rule.

Broadcasters are upset with the report claiming that such recommendations would lead to government interference with their First Amendment rights and the expressed desires of the majority of the TV audience. Public interest groups and educational organizations are expected to applaud the report. If you are asked your opinion of this report, Stu suggests that you respond as follows.

- Studies show that America's children spend a large number of hours watching TV, and it does indeed provide a window on the world for many. Of course, I favor worthwhile educational TV programming being made available to our nation's young people.
- In terms of specifics of the FCC Task Force Report, it would be inappropriate and premature for me to comment. This is an ongoing FCC proceeding which is within the FCC's jurisdiction, and I defer to it.

Henry Geller, Administrator of the National Telecommunications Information Administration, agrees.

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
FOR APPROPRIATE HANDLING
LAST DAY FOR ACTION

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

ACTION  
FYI

	VICE PRESIDENT
	JORDAN
	CUTLER
	DONOVAN
	EIZENSTAT
	MCDONALD
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON
	WEDDINGTON
	WEXLER
	BRZEZINSKI
	MCINTYRE
	SCHULTZE
	ANDRUS
	ASKEW
	BERGLAND
	BROWN
	CIVILETTI
	DUNCAN
	GOLDSCHMIDT
	HARRIS
	KREPS
	LANDRIEU
	MARSHALL

	MILLER
	VANCE
	BUTLER
	CAMPBELL
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	CRUIKSHANK
	FIRST LADY
	FRANCIS
	HARDEN
	HERTZBERG
	HUTCHESON
	KAHN
	LINDER
	MARTIN
	MILLER
	MOE
	PETERSON
	PRESS
	SANDERS
	SPETH
	STRAUSS
	TORRES
	VOORDE
	WISE



0. Docu. ts. 34127 and 34167

authorize ~~the~~ Flying Tiger Line, Inc. and  
Seaboard World Aviation, Inc. to  
provide freight & mail service  
between Los Angeles / San Francisco  
and Montreal / Toronto by way  
of Chicago.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: RICK HUTCHEOSN  
SUBJECT: Memos Not Submitted

1. ROUTINE CAB CASES, approved by Cutler, OMB and all agencies;
  - o Docket 36276 cancels Gravenhurst Aviation Limited the authority to engage in charter service between Canada and the U.S.
  - o Docket 35834 and 34765 give Colibri Aviation Authority Reg'd and Cougar Air, Inc., Canadian firms, permission for charter service between Canada the the U.S.
  - o Docket35521 would eliminate restrictions on Braniff Airways, Inc. that preclude nonstop flights between Brzil and Argentina.
  - o Dockets 3314, 33166 award an operating certaificate to Air Florida, Inc. for foreign charter service.
2. JIM MCINTYRE MEMO informing you of a joint U.S.-Mexican program to improve pulic managemtn. There will be a continuing exchange between OMB and thier counterparts in Mexico. A meeting at the Senior Staff level is being planned to discuss ~~matters-of~~ common interests in administrative reform. Further, an informal program is being developed to discuss other matters, including civil service reform implementation and program evealuation.
3. ANNE WEXLER snet ~~we~~ you a copy of the 11/8 WASHINGTON POST ad concerning hospital cost containment. She noted that the list of supporting organizations is impressive.
4. HUCH CARTER snet you a note regarding Coach Dodd's charimanship of the fundraising drice for a new athletic building at GA Tech. Hugh hs been approached by the Committee for a joint Carter Family gift. Would you be interested?
5. STU EIZENSTAT MEMO re the FCC Report on Television Programming for Children. The report states that commercial broadcasters are not provding enough educational programming for children. The task force recommends that the FCC issue a rule requiring 7½ hours of weekly educational programming for children (2½ for ages 6-12, 5 for agest 2-5). In 45 days the FCC should be issuing a formal notice of this proposed rule.

Braodcasters are upset with the report claining that such recommendations would lead to government interference with their First Amendment rights and the expressed desires of the majority of ~~t-v~~ the T.V. audience. Public interest groups and educational organizations are expected to applaud the report. If you are asked your opinion of this report, Stu suggests that you respond as follows:

-- Studies show that America's children spend a large nimber of hours watching tv, and it does indeed provide a window on the world for m many. Of course, I favor worthwhile educational tv programming

being made available to our nation's young people.

-- In terms of the specifics of the FCC Task Force Report, it would be inappropriate and premature for me to comment. This is an ongoing FCC proceeding which is within the FCC's jurisdiction, and I defer to it.

Henry Geller, Administrator of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, agrees.



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

*Concurred  
on  
11/5/79*

OCT 25 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE STAFF SECRETARY

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Flying Tiger Line, Inc.	Seaboard World Airlines, Inc.
Docket 34127	Docket 34167
Due Date: November 25, 1979	Due Date: November 25, 1979

You will find attached a memorandum for the President about the above international aviation cases. The interested executive agencies have reviewed the Board's decisions and have no objection to the proposed orders.

This is a routine, noncontroversial matter. No foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the Board's orders have been identified. I recommend that the President sign the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that he does not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

Attachments:

Memorandum to the President  
CAB letters of transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

OCT 25 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Flying Tiger Line, Inc.	Seaboard World Airlines, Inc.
Docket 34127	Docket 34167
Due Date: November 25, 1979	Due Date: November 25, 1979

The Civil Aeronautics Board proposes to issue operating certificates to Flying Tiger Line, Inc. and Seaboard World Airlines, Inc. to provide freight and mail service between Los Angeles/San Francisco and Montreal/Toronto by way of Chicago.

The Departments of State, Defense, Justice and Transportation and the National Security Council have not identified any foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the orders and they have no objection to the Board's proposed orders. The Office of Management and Budget recommends that you approve the Board's decisions by signing the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that you do not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

/s/ R. O. Schlickeisen  
R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

Attachments:

CAB letters of Transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman

Options and Implementation Actions:

- 1) Approve the Board's orders by taking no action.  
(DOS, DOD, DOJ, DOT, NSC, OMB.)  
-- Sign the attached letter to the Chairman.
- 2) Disapprove.  
-- Appropriate implementation materials to be prepared.
- 3) See me.



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20428

IN REPLY REFER TO:

B-1-57

SEP 26 1979

The President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

I transmit the Board's proposed Order on the applications of Flying Tiger Line, Inc. (Docket 34127) and Seaboard World Airlines, Inc. (Docket 34167) for your consideration under section 801(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended by the Airline Deregulation Act of 1978. The order will amend the certificates of public convenience and necessity held by the applicants and adopt the Board's tentative decision in its Order to Show Cause 79-6-118 (enclosed) unless you disapprove it within 60 days of this transmittal.

If you should decide earlier that you will not disapprove, please advise me to that effect; this will allow the earlier amendment of the certificates and may allow earlier service to the public.

We are submitting this proposed decision to you before publication under the provisions of section 801(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958. In accordance with Executive Order 11920, however, we plan to release all unclassified portions of the decision upon receipt of authorization from your Assistant for National Security Affairs.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) Marvin S. Cohen

Marvin S. Cohen  
Chairman

Enclosures

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

To Chairman Marvin Cohen:

I have reviewed the following orders proposed by the Civil  
Aeronautics Board:

Flying Tiger Line, Inc.  
Docket 34197

Seaboard World Airlines, Inc.  
Docket 34167

I do not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the  
60 days allowed by statute.

Sincerely,

Honorable Marvin S. Cohen  
Chairman  
Civil Aeronautics Board  
Washington, D.C. 20428

cc: The President

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

10/19/79

To: Bob Linder

From Patti Maloomian

The Counsel's office has approved the recommendation proposed by the CAB. Please have the letter autopenned.

Thanks.

*Summary*



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

OCT 16 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Braniff Airways, Inc.

Docket 35521

Due Date: November 18, 1979

Air Florida, Inc.

Dockets 33142, 33166

Due Date: November 20, 1979

The Civil Aeronautics Board proposes to take the following actions in the above cases:

- Braniff's route certificates would be amended by eliminating restrictions that preclude nonstop flights between Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Air Florida would be awarded an operating certificate for foreign charter air transportation.

The Departments of State, Defense, Justice and Transportation and the National Security Council have not identified any foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the orders and they have no objection to the Board's proposed orders. The Office of Management and Budget recommends that you approve the Board's decisions by signing the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that you do not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

/s/ R. O. Schlickeisen

R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

**Attachments:**

CAB letters of transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman

**Options and Implementation Actions:**

- 1) Approve the Board's orders by taking no action.  
(DOS, DOD DOJ, DOT, NSC, OMB.)
- 2) Disapprove.  
-- Appropriate implementation materials to be prepared.
- 3) See me.



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

OCT 16 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE STAFF SECRETARY

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Braniff Airways, Inc.

Docket 35521

Due Date: November 18, 1979

Air Florida, Inc.

Dockets 33142, 33166

Due Date: November 20, 1979

You will find attached a memorandum for the President about the above international aviation cases. The interested executive agencies have reviewed the Board's decisions and have no objection to the proposed orders.

This is a routine, noncontroversial matter. No foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the Board's orders have been identified. I recommend that the President sign the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that he does not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

/s/ R. O. Schlickeisen

R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

Attachments:

Memorandum to the President  
CAB letters of transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

26 Oct 79

To: Bob Linder

From: Marion Bartle

The Counsel's office has approved the attached CAB decisions. Please have the letters autopenned.

Thanks.

*Summary*



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

OCT 23 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE STAFF SECRETARY

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Gravenhurst Aviation Ltd.	Colibri Aviation Reg'd
Docket 36276	Docket 35834
Due Date: November 25, 1979	Due Date: November 25, 1979

Cougar Air Incorporated  
Docket 34765  
Due Date: November 25, 1979

You will find attached a memorandum for the President about the above international aviation cases. The interested executive agencies have reviewed the Board's decisions and have no objection to the proposed orders.

This is a routine, noncontroversial matter. No foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the Board's orders have been identified. I recommend that the President sign the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that he does not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

Attachments:

Memorandum to the President  
CAB letters of transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman

OCT 23 1979

AC1197

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Civil Aeronautics Board Decisions:

Gravenhurst Aviation Ltd.

Docket 30276

Due Date: November 25, 1979

Colibri Aviation Reg'd

Docket 3003

Due Date: November 25, 1979

Cougar Air Incorporated

Docket 34765

Due Date: November 25, 1979

The Civil Aeronautics Board proposes to take the following actions in the above cases:

- The foreign air carrier permit issued to Gravenhurst Aviation Limited, authorizing it to engage in small aircraft charter air transportation services between any point or points in Canada and the United States, would be canceled. The Government of Canada has withdrawn Gravenhurst's designation under the U.S.-Canada Nonscheduled Air Services Agreement and also has canceled the carrier's license which authorized charter services into the United States.
- Colibri Aviation Reg'd, a Canadian firm, would receive a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in small aircraft charter air transportation services between any point or points in Canada and the United States.
- Cougar Air Incorporated, a Canadian firm, would receive a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in small aircraft charter air transportation services between any point or points in Canada and the United States.

The Departments of State, Defense, Justice and Transportation and the National Security Council have not identified any foreign policy or national defense reasons for disapproving the orders and they have no objection to the Board's proposed orders. The Office of Management and Budget recommends that

2

you approve the Board's decisions by signing the attached letter to the Chairman which indicates that you do not intend to disapprove the Board's orders within the 60 days allowed by statute. Otherwise, the Board's orders become final on the 61st day.

/s/ R. O. Schlickeisen

R. O. Schlickeisen  
Associate Director for  
Economics and Government

Attachments:

CAB letters of transmittal  
CAB orders  
Letter to the Chairman

Options and Implementation Actions:

- 1) Approve the Board's orders by taking no action.  
(DOS, DOD DOJ, DOT, NSC, OMB.)
- 2) Disapprove.  
-- Appropriate implementation materials to be prepared.
- 3) See me.

cc: Staff Secretary

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
FOR APPROPRIATE HANDLING
LAST DAY FOR ACTION

*Summary*

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

ACTION  
FYI

	VICE PRESIDENT
	JORDAN
	CUTLER
	DONOVAN
X	EIZENSTAT
	MCDONALD
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON
	WEDDINGTON
	WEXLER
X	BRZEZINSKI
	MCINTYRE
	SCHULTZE
	ANDRUS
	ASKEW
	BERGLAND
	BROWN
	CIVILETTI
	DUNCAN
	GOLDSCHMIDT
	HARRIS
	KREPS
	LANDRIEU
	MARSHALL

	MILLER
	VANCE
	BUTLER
	CAMPBELL
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	CRUIKSHANK
	FIRST LADY
	FRANCIS
	HARDEN
	HERTZBERG
	HUTCHESON
	KAHN
	LINDER
	MARTIN
	MILLER
	MOE
	PETERSON
	PRESS
	SANDERS
	SPETH
	STRAUSS
	TORRES
	VOORDE
	WISE



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

NOV 8 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: James T. McIntyre, Jr. *Jim*  
SUBJECT: U.S.-Mexican Management Exchange

I wanted to inform you of some constructive steps we are taking to institute a cooperative arrangement with the Mexican government on improving public management. This collaborative agreement was reached at a recent meeting between John White and Alejandro Carillo Castro, who oversees President Lopez' Administrative Reform Project.

Mr. Carillo expressed a strong interest in establishing a continuing information exchange between OMB staff and their counterparts in Mexico. We agreed to institute an informal program of regular contacts to share ideas and experience in areas of mutual interest such as Civil Service Reform implementation and program evaluation.

Mr. Carillo also suggested a meeting, at the senior staff level, be held in Mexico, as soon as mutually convenient, to discuss common interests in administrative reform. He found a similar arrangement with France very satisfactory. We are proceeding with the planning for the meeting and have asked the State Department to help us.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 8, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANNE WEXLER 

Here is the ad on Hospital Cost Containment. The list of supporting organizations is impressive, as you can see. It had good position today in the Washington Post (opposite the story on the resumption of Iranian oil exports.

Attachment

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

**F**or many years, total hospital expenditures have increased significantly faster than the rise in the Consumer Price Index. And while most hospital bills are paid by public or private insurance programs and the impact of hospital inflation often can be disguised . . . the truth is that the impact of hospital cost inflation is painfully real for every American.

Hospital costs rise, and so do health insurance premiums. Workers take home smaller paychecks, and businesses are forced to charge higher prices. Inflation continues.

The elderly, who need more hospital services than other segments of the population, are particularly hard hit by hospital cost inflation. The Medicare hospital deductible paid by the elderly has almost quadrupled—from \$44 in 1969 to \$160 in 1979. If hospital cost inflation is not restrained, the deductible will reach \$260 in 1984.

We regard the problem of hospital cost inflation to be so serious that action must be taken by the Congress to slow it down. The Congress must consider a sound approach to decelerate hospital cost inflation that offers a reasonable promise of success. We believe that the Hospital Cost Containment Act of 1979 (H. R. 2626—S. 570) offers that promise.

We feel legislation is necessary because the health care delivery system operates in a non-competitive environment. Mandatory controls would go into effect only for those hospitals that do not meet attainable inflation goals. Hospitals in states which have, or adopt, mandatory cost containment programs would be exempt.

Congress has debated hospital cost containment for over two years. And hospital cost inflation has continued to rise.

There is no reason for further delay. We simply must slow down the rate of increase or suffer serious and perhaps even irreparable damage to our ability to afford

health care when needed. We urge Congress to enact the Hospital Cost Containment Act of 1979 (H. R. 2626—S. 570).

### **This Advertisement Sponsored by:**

National Council of Senior Citizens  
American Association of Retired Persons  
National Retired Teachers Association  
Prudential Insurance Company of America  
National Caucus on State Units on Aging  
National Caucus on the Black Aged  
Urban Elderly Coalition  
The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States  
Asociacion Nacional Pro Personas Mayores  
National Farmers Union  
Concerned Seniors for Better Government  
Aetna Life & Casualty Company  
National Association of Area Agencies on Aging  
National Association of Mature People  
LULAC  
American Public Health Association  
National Conference of State Legislatures  
Communications Workers of America, AFL-CIO  
National Indian Council on Aging  
International Association of Machinists, AFL-CIO  
International Ladies Garment Workers Union, AFL-CIO  
American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO  
AFL-CIO  
Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers Union, AFL-CIO  
National League of Cities  
Coalition of Hispanic Mental Health and Human Services Organizations  
National Consumers League  
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company  
Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO  
National Council of Jewish Women  
National Association of Counties  
Epilepsy Foundation of America  
Family Health Program  
National Association of Community Health Centers  
United Church of Christ, Office for Church in Society  
United Steelworkers of America  
National Council of Community Mental Health Centers  
International Brotherhood of Teamsters  
National Interfaith Coalition on Aging  
Association of State and Territorial Health Officers (ASTHO)  
Group Health Association of America  
Healthy America  
The National Coalition of Health Promotion  
National Association of Life Underwriters  
National Governors Association  
National Council on Aging  
Conference of Mayors

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT


FROM: HUGH CARTER 

Thought you might like to see this. Coach Dodd accepted the honorary chairmanship of the fund-raising drive, and it is off to a great start.

Considering Tech's record so far this year, 5-5-1, something certainly needs to be done.

I have been approached by the Committee about a joint Carter Family gift. Would you be interested?

# Georgia Tech's Athletic Building Near Reality?



Staff Photo—Lana Swindler

Tech's outdated facilities underneath Grant Field stands

By BILL BRYANT  
Journal Staff Writer


Finally, after nearly a decade of delays and promises, the new building at Georgia Tech that will consolidate vastly improved athletic facilities and is a potential boon to the school's recruiting efforts seems more than just a dream.

The most tangible evidence that work on the new facility may begin as soon as this spring is the more than \$2 million that has already been committed by large-scale contributors.

"It looks better than it's ever looked," said football coach Pepper Rodgers, long an advocate of improved conditions at Tech.

The projected cost of the building is between \$4 and \$5 million, and fund-raisers are hopeful to top the \$3 million mark in the next 60 days. The campaign will likely go public in an effort to raise the final \$1 million.

See TECH, 6D



Staff Photo—Lana Swindler

A.D. Building constructed in 40s

Tech officials connected with the project have retained the firm of Ketchum Inc. to organize the fund-raising efforts. Former football coach and athletic director Bobby Dodd is the honorary chairman, and Ewell Pope, former Tech football player and prominent developer, is the national fund-raising chairman.

There are no architectural plans on the drawing boards and ground is still, maybe as long as nine months to a year away from being broken, but the major delays appear to have been conquered.

The proposed new building, which would occupy the ground at the corner of Third and Techwood adjacent to Grant Field, where the Naval Armory now stands, would house players' meeting, training and weight rooms, locker rooms, coaches' offices, medical rooms, a dining room, and a players' lounge.

Present Tech facilities are considered far below the standard of major college athletic programs. Alabama Coach Bear Bryant was so moved by conditions in the visiting team's locker room when his team played here early in the season that he jokingly said a collection needed to be taken up for Tech.

But the problem is no joke to Rodgers, who says, "If that happens (if the building becomes a reality), it would be the second-biggest thing to happen at Georgia Tech since I've been here." Rodgers puts only the school's entrance into the Atlantic Coast Conference as a more important accomplishment.

"Sure, we've lost kids because of our facilities," Rodgers said. "You know what other schools say to kids they are recruiting along with us? They say make sure Tech shows you where you'll be living and eating."

"It is a common practice among most schools to bring visiting prospects into the locker room after football games to meet with coaches and players and to show off its facilities, but Tech has abandoned that tactic.

"We've quit taking kids into our locker rooms after games," Rodgers said. "Why do you think we've quit doing that? We're embarrassed."

Rodgers said, "I think Georgia Tech has reached the point in its athletic life where it either had to do like Virginia did and back off on its schedule or build some athletic facilities here which are comparable to our competition's. I believe we've decided to compete."

Rodgers was asked just how much difference improved conditions could make in the program, currently struggling during a 1-5-1 season.

"Those things (facilities) do not make your team better except in one area," Rodgers said. "They make your recruiting better, which makes your team better."

Rodgers has been criticized in recent years for results of the school's recruiting efforts. But better facilities should help him compete for the top athletes.

"If we can recruit only one-third of the athletes (Rodgers says Tech entrance requirements limit recruiting efforts to that extent), and we turn half of those off because of our facilities, we are really hurting ourselves."

Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 5, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

STUART EIZENSTAT *Sh*  
STEVE SIMMONS *Steve*

SUBJECT:

FCC Report on Television Programming for Children

A Federal Communications Commission Task Force has just released a widely-publicized report declaring that America's commercial broadcasters are not providing enough educational programming for children. Although the task force found that broadcasters are abiding by a 1974 FCC mandate that advertising be minimized on children's programming, it recommended that the FCC issue a rule requiring a total of 7 1/2 hours of weekday educational programming for children (2 1/2 hours oriented for children ages 6-12, 5 hours for pre-schoolers ages 2-5). In 45 days the FCC is expected to issue a formal notice of proposed rule making on the task force recommendation and receive comments from interested parties.

The broadcasters are upset with the task force report claiming that its recommendations would lead to government interference with their First Amendment rights as well as the expressed desires of the majority of the television audience. Public interest groups and educational organizations are expected to applaud the task force report. In light of the controversy the report has generated and the surprisingly wide media coverage it has received, you may be asked your opinion on the report. We recommend that you respond as follows:

- Studies show that America's children spend a large number of hours watching television, and it does indeed provide a window on the world for many. Of course, I favor worthwhile educational television programming being made available to our nation's young people.
- In terms of the specifics of the FCC Task Force Report, it would be inappropriate and premature for me to comment. This is an ongoing FCC proceeding which is within the FCC's jurisdiction, and I defer to it.

Henry Geller, Administrator of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, agrees.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

November 8, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

From Al McDonald *AM*  
Rick Hertzberg

SUBJECT Presidential Talking Points:  
Reception for foreign labor  
leaders, November 15

Attached is the speech strategy for  
the above appearance for your approval  
or further guidance.

*The first two  
copies are o.k.  
J*

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**



THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

November 8, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

From Al McDonald   
Rick Hertzberg

SUBJECT Presidential Speeches:  
1. Florida Democratic Convention  
2. Florida Democratic Fundraiser  
November 17, 1979

Attached are speech strategies for  
the above appearances for your approval  
or further comments.



PRESIDENTIAL SPEECH STRATEGY

<u>Florida Democratic Fundraiser</u>	<u>11/17/79</u>	<u>St. Petersburg</u>	<u>evening</u>
(Event)	(Date)	(Place)	(Time)

Convention delegates and party supporters (about 1,500)  
(Audience)

1. Aims: -- Bolster Carter support
2. Themes/Messages:
  - Administration accomplishments, vision of future
  - Salute Florida Democrats
  - Determination of President to win

3. Illustrations, Examples:
  - Personal reminiscences of 1976 Fla. primary

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

4. Tone:  
Personal, assertive, confident, applause lines

5. Desired Audience Response:  
Reinforce Carter support

6. Desired Length:  
~~15-20~~ minutes      10<sup>+</sup> minutes

Note: Many of the same people will be at both speeches. Because dinner patrons are expected to be almost all Carter supporters, this speech can be pitched to them. Afternoon convention speech addresses wider range, and therefore should be more persuasive on issues. The text will be based on the standard themes presently being developed.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON  
09 NOV 79

Secretary Duncan  
Jack Watson

The attached was returned in  
the President's outbox today  
and is forwarded to you for  
appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Stu Eizenstat

The <sup>signed</sup> original has been given  
to Jack Watson for transmittal.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 8, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

JACK WATSON *Jack*

SUBJECT:

Governor Gallen's Report on His Canadian  
Meetings

---

Hugh Gallen sent you the attached report on his discussions with Canadian officials concerning energy. As you know, Hugh will be accompanying you to Canada tomorrow. I suggest that you sign the attached letter to Hugh. My office will see that it is telecopied to the Governor to ensure its receipt by him before the trip. The text of the letter has been approved by the State Department and the NSC staff responsible for coordinating preparations for your trip.

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 8, 1979

To Hugh Gallen


As I expected, you did a superb job for the United States in your exploratory mission to Canada on energy cooperation. Your written report has provided me with timely and practical guidance for my visit to Canada. I am pleased you will be able to be with me.

I plan to stress energy cooperation in my conversations with the Prime Minister and in public statements, touching on most of the projects and problems raised during your meetings there. We need to facilitate joint governmental action regarding exchanges of electric power and petroleum products and to accelerate private and public decisions on the northern gas pipeline.

I share your enthusiasm for energy research and development cooperation with Canada; I do plan to discuss joint financing of demonstration projects. I also appreciate your alerting me to Canadian thinking about U.S. civil antitrust action against the uranium cartel. As to the idea of storing some of our strategic petroleum reserve in eastern Canada, I will not be in a position to encourage this Canadian interest until we have resolved outstanding legal and economic questions.

I appreciate your skillful discharge of a mission of great importance to our national interest.

Sincerely,



The Honorable Hugh J. Gallen  
Governor of the State of New Hampshire  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

*p.s. Although I had to postpone my visit,  
Cabinet members will consult with their  
Canadian counterparts - the  
"low key approach" you prefer. JC*



cc  
To  
Sec Duncan  
JC

JW


STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
Concord, NH 03301  
Hugh J. Gallen, Governor

October 29, 1979

058090

President Jimmy Carter  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes



Dear Mr. President:

Following our discussions at Camp David, two members of my staff and I traveled to Canada, in mid-August, to meet with Federal and Provincial Canadian officials to discuss mutual concerns relating to energy. I am transmitting a report on those discussions.

I believe my meetings in Ottawa, Montreal and Quebec City laid the groundwork for an improved relationship between Canada and the United States on energy issues. While the Canadians were unanimously opposed to the concept of a highly visible Presidential Commission to address mutual energy concerns, they were also particularly responsive to more low-key approaches. After their experience with some recent administrations, they were especially pleased to learn that an American President was interested in hearing their views, rather than simply informing them of his.

As the enclosed report indicates, I believe that there are a variety of areas where further discussions can produce some meaningful results. There are also some issues on which unilateral American action would serve as a very positive sign to the Canadians that we are committed to a mutually beneficial relationship. I, of course, stand ready to assist you in developing that relationship any way in which you may desire.

Sincerely,

Hugh J. Gallen

HJG:jmr

REPORT TO PRESIDENT CARTER:

Canadian-United States Energy Exchanges

These notes were compiled during two days of meetings with government and energy officials in Canada in August. During those two days, Governor Gallen met with Ray Hnatyshyn, the new Canadian Energy Minister; top officials of the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources; Jack Stabback, chairman of the National Energy Board; Mitchell Sharp, Northern Pipelines Commissioner; officials from the Department of External Affairs; Guy Joron, Quebec's Minister of Energy; Robert Boyd, president of Hydro-Quebec; U.S. Ambassador Thomas O. Enders; George Jaeger, U.S. Consul General in Quebec City; and other officials.

On the whole, the meetings were very informative and cordial. All of the Canadian officials were very pleased that the President was interested in hearing their views about energy exchanges and that we were taking a low-key approach to the situation.

#### THE IDEA OF A PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION

The concept of a Presidential Commission to deal with Canadian-U.S. energy matters was overwhelmingly disapproved by the Canadians. In their minds, it would be too highly visible and would trigger political problems in Canada by raising the prospect of a "continental energy policy," an idea that would cause political problems even for those officials and businesses which are very interested in doing energy business with the United States.

The public view of a "continental energy policy" in Canada is that all the major decisions would be made in Washington and in Wall Street, and not in Canada or Mexico. While they recognize the close interdependence of Canada and the United States, and while they recognize that the sheer economic clout of the United States means that many of their business decisions are, in fact, already made south of their border, they feel that a formalized and highly visible joint commission or joint policy would increase nationalist feelings in Canada and, in turn, harm rather than help possible energy exchanges.

Many of them mentioned their uneasiness over reading that John Connally, Teddy Kennedy, Jerry Brown and other American politicians are advocating a North American energy policy. Mitchell Sharp, the Northern Pipelines

Commissioner and formerly Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce, put it best: "A continental policy wouldn't change anything, but would create enormous political problems in Canada; it would be mischievous because it would cause all sorts of fears, most of which would be unjustified."

Instead, the Canadians would rather approach energy exchanges on a project-by-project basis. Obviously, their first priority is to take care of Canada's own energy needs, but after that they are more than willing to export their surpluses as long as it does not entirely foreclose their future options.

They also pointed out two other things. The first is that President Carter and former Prime Minister Trudeau already formed a ministerial mechanism in March to discuss mutual energy concerns, and this, they believe, is the proper level for these discussions to be carried out.

Second, they pointed to the long history of Canadian-U.S. exchanges, and said this should be given more recognition for its successes.

Finally, they showed an implicit recognition that there is political pressure in the United States to give more attention to Canadian energy resources and to see some positive steps taken to get some of them for the United States. Several mentioned the President's proposed trip to Canada and pointed to a number of actions that could be taken or announced at that time. These things would highlight the energy issues (they are explained later in this report) without crossing over the sensitive line of a continental energy policy.

#### REFINING CAPACITY

There are a number of Canadian refineries with excess capacity and a willingness to let the U.S. make use of that excess. With the worldwide tight crude oil situation right now, that excess isn't available simply because the crude isn't available, but when things return to "normal," opportunities will return as well. The Canadians think that the initiative for setting up contracts, etc. needs to come from the U.S. side, and they say the chief obstacle is disinterest on the part of DOE and the American companies.

The current entitlements system works against the possibility of U.S. use of Canadian excess refining capacity, and a host of other U.S. tariffs and laws also discourage private businesses from using this capacity.

(Main contact: Claude Landry, senior advisory on petroleum utilization, energy policy sector, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources)

#### NORTHERN PIPELINE

The Canadians are particularly interested in seeing some U.S. action soon on the northern gas pipeline from Alaska to the United States. Most of the planning and regulatory work has been completed on the Canadian side; the Americans need to accelerate their decision-making.

If the Canadians had the assurance that the entire gas pipeline will be built, then they could pre-build the Alberta portion of it, opening up with prospects of them exporting some natural gas to the U.S. while the Alaska portion of the pipeline is being built.

They view the pipeline as a way of helping Americans gain access to their own supply, and anything that helps the U.S. become more self-sufficient benefits Canada.

(Main contact: Mitchell Sharp, Northern Pipelines Commissioner)

#### URANIUM

This is a real thorn in the Canadian's side, and they brought it up several times during discussions about better energy relations between our two countries.

The Canadian uranium industry was built in the 1950x to serve the U.S. In the early 1970s, John Connally and President Nixon unilaterally stopped all Canadian (and other) uranium imports to the U.S. --- without any consultation with Canada. Canada and other producers formed a cartel in response; it was disbanded after 15 months. Now, the Canadian producers are being sued in U.S. courts for their action --- the TVA is one of the main plaintiffs --- but,

the Canadian government will not allow them to appear in U.S. courts, for jurisdictional reasons.

Their main point is that their cartel action was provoked by U.S. action in the first place; the Canadian government, not the companies, was the chief actor in the response; and the U.S. government, through the TVA, ought to drop its suit.

(Main contact: Dr. Harry Swain, director general of electrical, coal, uranium and nuclear energy sector, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources)

#### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Canadians pointed to this area as one where our two countries could benefit by increased cooperation, with the added plus that it does not raise the nationalistic fears of a U.S. drain on Canadian energy resources.

Joint projects on research into the tar sands, heavy oil, coal-oil mixtures and conservation were mentioned. Some has already begun, and should be given greater emphasis and greater publicity.

#### STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

The Canadians --- and the Governors of New England --- support the location of a strategic petroleum reserve in the Maritimes of Canada. The main obstacle seems to be in the U.S. Senate, but a statement from the President in favor of the idea would be helpful.

CONCLUSION

There seems to be two basic problems. On the American side, the public perceives --- with some justification in certain instances --- that their government has not been active and aggressive enough in taking advantage of Canada's energy resources. The main evidence of this is the political mileage people like Connally, Kennedy and Brown are getting from their proposal of a North American energy alliance.

On the Canadian side, the public is fearful of a formal North American energy alliance, but they are willing to continue and possibly expand energy exchanges on a project-by-project basis. Their officials also believe that the successes of existing and past energy exchanges have not been given the public attention they deserve. Additionally, they believe that in those instances where there are problems, most often the obstacles are on the American side.

The President can take advantage of both sides. If, during his trip to Canada or at other times, he would pick single projects or issues where initiatives are being or will be taken, and give them a push.

At the same time, he should emphasize past successes and assure the Canadians that future cooperation on energy issues will be a higher priority, but not at the expense of closing out Canada's own internal energy options to take care of its own needs.

staff meeting 11/9/79

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

11-8-79

HCC 1/2 point

Modified bill

8 states

Save Money - \$5 B./year

'78 US +12% Tex +15%<sup>4</sup>/10

Non-competitive

Catastrophic prelude

Attractive politically

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

telephone call - first lady

(thailand)

11/9/79

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

*Ros. 8 a.m. 11-9-79*

*18 days*

- ① Plenty of food, medicine, MD's*
- ② Expect 200,000 more*
- ③ Need to prepare more sites*
- ④ Camp for Lactians (4-5 years)*
- ⑤*

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

regular foreign affairs breakfast

11/9/79

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

For All breakfast 11-9-79

Dip. options

No student permits - 1037/218

Shah

Clark/PLO

Refugee coordinator

© David Bell - H Hughes

SALT = 8:7 A State, Zorinsky

Defuse budget schedule

Mex Ambassador

CSCE =

Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Presentation Purposes

in president's out box 11/9/79

DRAFT STATEMENT BY SECURITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT

#2354

TO: G. HELMAN, IO  
FROM: ROSENSTOCK

Following consultations among the Members of the Security Council I am authorized as President of the Council to express the Council's profound concern over the prolonged detention of diplomatic personnel in Iran. Speaking as President of the Security Council on behalf of the Security Council, I urge in the strongest terms that the sanctity of diplomatic personnel and establishments be respected in accordance with internationally accepted norms and that diplomatic personnel being held in Iran be released without delay and provided protection from further action against them. I further urge the Secretary-General to use his good offices to assist toward this objective. The Security Council will remain seized with this matter.

---

POL:BJJones:L:RRosenstock, 11/8/79

# 1731

ONE PAGE

NOV. 8, 1979

To: Rosestock  
From: Helman

DRAFT U.S. LETTER

On November 4 the American Embassy in Tehran was occupied and the American diplomatic personnel on its premises were taken and held by a group of Iranians. All efforts to secure their release, including an offer of discussions with emissaries, have so far been unavailing.

This action, and the support it has received, strike at the fundamental norms by which states maintain communication and violate the very basis for the maintenance of international peace and security and of comity between states. We consequently request that the Security Council urgently consider what might be done to secure the release of the diplomatic personnel being held and to restore the sanctity of diplomatic personnel and establishments.

11/8/79

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

11/9/79

Frank Moore

The attached was returned in  
the President's outbox today  
and is forwarded to you for  
appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
FOR APPROPRIATE HANDLING
LAST DAY FOR ACTION

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

ACTION  
FYI

	VICE PRESIDENT
	JORDAN
	CUTLER
	DONOVAN
	EIZENSTAT
	MCDONALD
X	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON
	WEDDINGTON
	WEXLER
	BRZEZINSKI
	MCINTYRE
	SCHULTZE
	ANDRUS
	ASKEW
	BERGLAND
	BROWN
	CIVILETTI
	DUNCAN
	GOLDSCHMIDT
	HARRIS
	KREPS
	LANDRIEU
	MARSHALL

	MILLER
	VANCE
	BUTLER
	CAMPBELL
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	CRUIKSHANK
	FIRST LADY
	FRANCIS
	HARDEN
	HERTZBERG
	HUTCHESON
	KAHN
	LINDER
	MARTIN
	MILLER
	MOE
	PETERSON
	PRESS
	SANDERS
	SPETH
	STRAUSS
	TORRES
	VOORDE
	WISE

Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes

Done  
J

Frank:

I recommend that the President make the following calls to thank Senators for their work on the Energy Security Corporation Bill:

- > Senator Scoop Jackson -- The President should acknowledge that Jackson was a leader in synfuels for years and that he really did a great job in getting this bill through, especially in beating the Proxmire and Tsongas Amendments.  
*Furious & business groups - Beat them all = Difficult Conference ahead*
  - > Senator Bennett Johnston -- He did a superb job in leading the fight on the floor and hustling votes against Prox and Tsongas.  
*Good team effort. Talk re Kerneny =*
  - > Senator Pete Domenici -- A true leader on behalf of the Republicans. He made this a bipartisan bill and the President should compliment him for his leadership and work.  
*Talked re Iran = Intelligence deplorable. Will try to help & House Repubs.*
  - > Senator Robert Byrd -- He, as usual, was the difference. It was a great victory for us and the Senate.  
*TC: May need to help among coal & other bus. groups*
  - > Senator Jennings Randolph -- Even though he is not an Energy Committee member, Randolph worked this measure as if it were his own. He really did round up several votes that we otherwise might not have gotten. Of course, the President should acknowledge Randolph's work in synfuels since the 1940's.  
*A team man -*
- John Warner  
Good action = wants to help coal Iran issue*
- I think the President will be pleased with the reaction he will get from these calls.

Tato

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON  
09 Nov 79

FOR THE RECORD:

ORIGINAL WAS GIVEN TO  
EV SMALL (MOORE) FOR HANDLING  
AND DELIVERY. CC TO EIZENSTAT.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 9, 1979

*Write both*  
*J*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Stu Eizenstat *Stu*  
Frank Moore *fm*  
Dick Moe *Moe*

SUBJECT: Hospital Cost Containment

**Electrostatic Copy Made  
for Preservation Purposes**

We would like to recommend two phone calls on Hospital Cost Containment -- one to Secretary Harris and one to the Speaker.

Call to Secretary Harris

Over the past two weeks, as so many of us at the White House have been working hard on Hospital Cost Containment, it has become apparent that HEW is doing almost nothing in the lobbying effort.

Hospital Cost Containment is a major Presidential initiative, and clearly the major focus of Administration lobbying efforts must center around White House activities -- your calls, the Vice President's calls, senior staff calls, lobbying on the Hill by senior staff and Congressional Liaison staff, briefings at the White House, and the operation of the interagency task force. But that does not mean HEW should disappear in their lobbying effort, which appears to have happened.

We can understand that Secretary Harris may be reluctant to engage in lobbying on this initiative; she is not very familiar with the bill and has a great many other priorities. However, her Undersecretary, Nate Stark, could be helpful -- he is the former head of the University of Pittsburgh Hospital. To date, he has done nothing that we are aware of on this bill. Pat's Congressional Liaison Assistant, Bill Welsh, has done little on Hospital Cost Containment; he has left all the work on that bill to a very low level person on his staff. Recently, Bill has been working on Welfare Reform and Health Insurance and that, justifiably, has taken a great deal of his time; but there is no reason why he cannot now concentrate full time on Hospital Cost Containment.

Finally, Len Schaeffer, the Administrator of Medicare and Medicaid could also be very helpful, because of his knowledge of the Hospital Cost Containment issue and his solid reputation on the Hill. He has done nothing to date.

It would be very helpful if you could speak to Pat about her assigning Stark, Welsh, and Schaeffer to work almost full time on Hospital Cost Containment until the House vote is over and her making certain that they understand the Gephardt substitute is unacceptable (there is some indication that lower level HEW staffers are telling Congressional staffers that Gephardt might be acceptable as conference vehicle). These things have been suggested to her by members of your staff but little has happened in response thus far. We think, therefore, that anything short of a call from you will do the trick.

Call to the Speaker

The Speaker has been helpful thus far, but we would like to make certain once again that he knows how important this legislation is to you. We therefore recommend that you make a call along the following lines:

- . I appreciate the help you have given to date on Hospital Cost Containment. I am calling to let you know that we are doing everything we can to pass the bill on Thursday, when it is now scheduled for a floor vote, and we need your all-out help.
- . I regard this bill as one of the highest legislative priorities of my Administration. We have been working on it now for nearly three years. If we lose the vote on Thursday, we will not only suffer politically but we will also be ensuring the demise of any type of health insurance for the foreseeable future. For without Hospital Cost Containment, there is no way we could afford health insurance, even just catastrophic coverage.
- . Of equal importance is the fact that this is the last effort Members will have this year to make a major stand against inflation. This vote will be seen as one in which those concerned with inflation are fighting inflation. The Democratic Party cannot afford to lose that fight.
- . Finally, I would not ask you to use all of your resources and prestige if I did not think we could win. The vote is close, but we are within reach, and I am sure your efforts can make the difference.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

11-9-79

To Speaker O'Neill

I appreciate your help on Hospital Cost Containment, but want to let you hear from me again how vitally important this legislation will be. a) We have been working on it for almost 3 years. b) The onerous parts have been removed to assuage the hospital industry. c) This is the vote to fight inflation. d) HCC is a prerequisite to any meaningful health insurance program. e) It is a great Democratic Party issue. f) I believe we can win, and we are going all out! We're in it to get it.

Best wishes,  
Jimmy Carter

URGENT=  
PERSONAL


THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

11-9-79

To Pat Harris

I want you, Nate Stark,  
and your CL people to go  
all out to pass Hospital  
Cost Containment. Bill Welsh,  
Len Schaeffer and your con-  
stituency group leaders should  
make this #1 priority until  
the House vote is successful.

All of you move aggressively  
and immediately on this.

 Jimmy Carter

cc: Stu Eyzmstat