

11/3/80

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 11/3/80;
Container 182

To See Complete Finding Aid:

http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf


CQ

CONGRESSIONAL QUARTERLY
Weekly Report

Vol. 38, No. 40

• Pages 2889-2964

• Oct. 4, 1980



Reagan Staff (2913)

Energy Issue (2923)



CONGRESSIONAL QUARTERLY
Weekly Report

Pages 2889-2964 • Vol. 38, No. 40 • Oct. 4, 1980

Inside Congress

Election Recess 2891
 Myers Expelled by House 2894
 Bauman Morals Charge 2894

Law Enforcement/Judiciary

Report to Senate on Billy Carter Probe . 2895
 Newsroom Search Bill Cleared 2897
 Bill to Discipline Judges Cleared 2898
 International Trade Court Cleared 2899

Economic Affairs/Labor

Jobless Benefits Bill Fails to Clear 2900
 Carter Proposes Steel Aid Program 2901

Transportation/Commerce

Railroad Deregulation Clears 2902
 FY '81 Compromise DOT Bill Passed 2904
 Bill Making Moving Easier Cleared 2906
 Bumper Fight Stalls NHTSA Agreement . 2907
 Airway Fund Suspended 2908
 Telecommunications Bill Buried 2909

Continuing Appropriations

Stopgap Funding Bill Clears 2910

Profile: Reagan's Staff

'California Mafia,' Nixon, Ford Alumni . 2913

Campaign Issues

Energy Policy 2923

National Security

Military Construction Programs 2926
 Panel Conditionally OK's Somalia Aid . . 2927
 Secret Report on Iran 2928
 Intelligence Oversight Strengthened 2928

Government Operations

Bills to Aid Small Business Approved ... 2929
 Cost-of-Living Rise for Disabled Vets ... 2933

Environment

Coastal Zone Management Revamped .. 2934

Energy

Pacific Northwest Power Bill Delayed ... 2935

Nuclear Fusion Bill Cleared 2937
 Auto Fuel Efficiency Standards 2937
 Nuclear Waste Legislation in Doubt 2938

Housing/Community Development

1980 Housing Bill Clears 2939

Health/Education/Welfare

Aid to States for Refugee Services 2946
 Higher Education Bill Cleared 2947
 Mental Health Bill Cleared 2950

Foreign Policy

Iraq Engines; Saudi AWACS Planes 2952
 Action Delayed on CIA Agents Bill 2953
 Senate OK's Nuclear Weapon Program . 2954
 U.S. Aid for Nicaragua 2955
 China Claims Act Cleared 2955

Votes: 2942; 2956


Correction 2949

Major Legislative Action

Conference/Final

China Claims Act (HR 6440) 2955
 Civil Rights Commission (S 2511) 2897
 Coastal Zone Management (HR 6979) 2934
 Continuing Appropriations (H J Res 610) 2910
 DOT Appropriations (HR 7831) 2904
 Disabled Vets' Cost-of-Living (HR 7511) 2933
 Fifth Circuit Split (HR 7665) 2898
 Higher Education (HR 5192) 2947
 Hostage Relief Act (HR 7085) 2928
 Household Movers (S 1798) 2906
 Intelligence Authorization (S 2597) 2928
 International Trade Court (S 1654) 2899
 Judicial Discipline (S 1873) 2898
 Justice Department Authorization (HR 8202) 2899
 Maritime Tort Bill (HR 3748) 2899
 Mental Health Systems (S 1177) 2950
 Military Construction (HR 7301, HR 7592) 2926
 Newsroom Searches (S 1790) 2897
 Housing Authorization (S 2719) 2939
 Nuclear Fusion Development (HR 6308) 2937
 Pretrial Service (S 2705) 2899
 Railroad Deregulation (S 1946) 2902
 Refugee Assistance (HR 7859) 2946
 Small Business Bills (HR 7554, HR 5612) 2932

RETURN TO PRESIDENT



FREE THE HOSTAGES

Please return to Susan Clough

First Floor -- West Wing

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

IMPORTANCE OF ELECTION AND PRESIDENCY

THE CHOICE ON NOVEMBER 4

IS NOT JUST BETWEEN ME AND GOVERNOR REAGAN.

IT IS NOT JUST BETWEEN THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
AND THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

IT IS A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO VASTLY DIFFERENT SETS OF BELIEFS --
BETWEEN TWO VASTLY DIFFERENT FUTURES FOR AMERICA.

THE MEANING OF THAT CHOICE IS NOT JUST IN WHAT WE SAY,
BUT IN THE CONSEQUENCES OF OUR WORDS,

THE CONSEQUENCES OF WHAT WE BELIEVE,

THE CONSEQUENCES OF WHAT WE WILL DO.

THE CHOICE IS CRUCIAL. ITS CONSEQUENCES ARE GRAVE.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

IS NOT ONLY THE SERVANT OF THE PRESENT,
HE IS ALSO THE GUARDIAN OF THE FUTURE.

HIS ACTIONS WILL ECHO THROUGH THE YEARS --

IN THE JUDGES HE APPOINTS,

THE REGULATORY BOARD MEMBERS HE NAMES,

THE AGENDA HE SETS FOR THE NATION.

WHEN HE SITS AS HEAD OF STATE WITH OTHER NATIONAL LEADERS,
HE MUST ALWAYS BE AWARE

THAT HIS EVERY WORD IS WEIGHED AND MEASURED.

HE MUST BE SENSITIVE TO THEIR CONCERNS,

YET ADAMANT IN THE PROTECTION OF AMERICA'S INTERESTS.

AS COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF,
THE PRESIDENT HAS IT WITHIN HIS POWER
TO UNLEASH THE MOST AWESOME, DESTRUCTIVE MILITARY FORCE
IN THE HISTORY OF THE WORLD.

IF HE IS SKILLFUL AND WISE, IT IS A TASK,
PRAY GOD,
HE WILL NEVER HAVE TO PERFORM.

#

LESSONS OF THE OVAL OFFICE

AS PRESIDENT I HAVE HAD TO MAKE SOME DIFFICULT DECISIONS --
AND I EXPECT TO MAKE MANY MORE.

I HAVE FOUGHT SOME BITTER FIGHTS AGAINST SELFISH NARROW INTERESTS.
I CARRY SOME SCARS AND I CARRY THEM WITH PRIDE.

I HAVE MADE SOME MISTAKES.
I HAVE TAKEN THE HEAT FOR THEM.
I HAVE LEARNED FROM THEM.
AND BECAUSE OF THAT, I AM A BETTER PRESIDENT.

A MODERN PRESIDENT MUST HAVE PATIENCE.
HE MUST HAVE DEEP COMPASSION.
WHEN THE TOUGHEST DECISIONS HAVE TO BE MADE,
THE PRESIDENT CANNOT RELY ON ADVISERS.
HE CANNOT RELY ON IDEOLOGY.
HE MUST HAVE THE JUDGEMENT TO ACT ALONE.

THE PRESIDENT SERVES TODAY.
BUT HE MUST ANSWER FOR TOMORROW.

THAT IS WHY THIS CAMPAIGN MUST FOCUS ON CONCRETE IDEAS NOW --
AND THEIR CONSEQUENCES FOR THE FUTURE
OF EVERY HUMAN BEING ON EARTH.

INTRODUCTION TO ISSUES

THERE COMES A TIME IN EVERY CAMPAIGN
WHEN BOTH PARTIES HAVE A SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PUBLIC --
ONE THAT TRANSCENDS CRITICISM --
AND PERSONALITIES --
AND THE ENDLESS SPECULATION ABOUT WHO IS AHEAD.

THAT RESPONSIBILITY IS TO SAY EXACTLY HOW
THEY PROPOSE TO ACT ON THE PROBLEMS OF OUR WORLD.

GOVERNOR REAGAN HAS CRITICIZED MY RECORD.
BUT THE OVAL OFFICE IS A PLACE OF ACTION, NOT CRITICISM.

CANDIDATES OF BOTH PARTIES MUST SPELL OUT CLEARLY,
WITHOUT DISTORTION OR EVASION,
PRECISELY WHAT THEY PROPOSE TO DO
ABOUT THE COMPLEX, LONG-RANGE CHALLENGES OF OUR TIME --
CHALLENGES SUCH AS ARMS CONTROL,
VIOLENT CHANGE IN THE THIRD WORLD,
ENERGY DEPENDENCE,
MODERNIZING OUR INDUSTRY,
REVITALIZING OUR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS,
STRENGTHENING OUR CITIES,
PROTECTING OUR FARMS,
SAFEGUARDING OUR ENVIRONMENT,
SECURING OUR NATION,
AND PRESERVING THE PEACE.

ISSUE: ENERGY

AMERICA IS AT THE RECEIVING END OF A 12,000-MILE SUPPLY LINE.
AT THE OTHER END IS DANGER AND UNCERTAINTY AND TURMOIL.

THE LAST TIME THERE WAS A CRISIS IN THE PERSIAN GULF,
WORLD OIL SUPPLIES WERE CUT BY 4 MILLION BARRELS A DAY.
WE HAD LONG GAS LINES AND FEARS OF WORSE.

TODAY, BECAUSE OF THE WAR BETWEEN IRAN AND IRAQ,
WORLD SUPPLIES ARE AGAIN BEING CUT
BY ABOUT 4 MILLION BARRELS A DAY --
AND WE ARE AHEAD OF THE CRISIS.

WE ARE BUILDING OUR ENERGY SECURITY EVERY DAY.
WE ARE SHOWING THIS COUNTRY CAN PRODUCE MORE,
DISCOVER MORE
AND CONSERVE MORE ENERGY --
AND THAT WE CAN USE AMERICAN RESOURCES,
AMERICAN KNOWLEDGE,
AND AMERICAN LABOR TO DO IT.

RIGHT NOW THERE ARE MORE THAN 3,000 DRILLING RIGS
OPERATING IN THE UNITED STATES.

MORE NEW OIL AND GAS WELLS ARE BEING DRILLED IN 1980
THAN IN ANY YEAR IN THE HISTORY OF OUR COUNTRY.

WE ARE CONSERVING MORE, EVEN AS WE ARE PRODUCING MORE.
OIL IMPORTS ARE DOWN 2 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY.
DURING THE MOST RECENT WEEKS WE HAVE BEEN IMPORTING
FULLY ONE-THIRD LESS OIL THAN AT THIS TIME LAST YEAR.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

IN 1981 ALONE,
WE'LL SPEND MORE THAN \$1 BILLION ON SOLAR ENERGY.
IN 1975, TWO YEARS AFTER THE OIL EMBARGO, 20X
THE PREVIOUS ADMINISTRATION SPENT ONLY ABOUT 5 PERCENT OF THAT.

OUR GOAL IS TO DRAW 20 PERCENT OF ALL THE ENERGY WE USE
FROM THE SUN
BY THE YEAR 2000.

WE HAVE LAUNCHED A MASSIVE PROGRAM
TO TURN OUR COAL AND SHALE AND FARM PRODUCTS
INTO FUEL FOR OUR CARS AND TRUCKS.

WE HAVE MORE OIL LOCKED UP IN OUR SHALE
THAN THREE SAUDI ARABIAS PUT TOGETHER --
AND EVEN MORE LOCKED UP IN COAL.
THROUGH OUR NEW SYNTHETIC FUEL INDUSTRY
WE ARE BEGINNING TO TAP THOSE RESOURCES.

18 MONTHS AGO VIRTUALLY NO GASOHOL WAS BEING PRODUCED
IN THIS COUNTRY.

WE NOW HAVE THE CAPACITY
TO RPRODUCE 135 MILLION GALLONS OF (ETHANOL.)

BY 1981 -- NEXT YEAR --
WE SHOULD REACH 500 MILLION GALLONS OF ETHANOL.

MY GOAL IS TO PRODUCE ENOUGH ALCOHOL FUEL BY THE END OF 1990
TO REPLACE 10 PERCENT OF THE GASOLINE WE USE.

THE SOUL OF OUR ENERGY POLICY
IS THAT THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT,
COMPLEX,
EXCITING PATHS TO ENERGY SECURITY --
AND WE WILL EXPLORE ALL OF THEM!

MY OPPONENT SUMMED UP HIS ENERGY PROGRAM THIS WAY: QUOTE,
"WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE
IS FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO REPEAL THE ENERGY LEGISLATION
AND THEN TURN THE INDUSTRY LOOSE." UNQUOTE.

THAT'S IT. THAT'S THEIR WHOLE PROGRAM.
NO SYNTHETIC FUELS,
NO SOLAR ENERGY,
NO GASOHOL,
NO MASS TRANSIT,
NO FUEL ASSISTANCE FOR THE POOR AND ELDERLY,
AND, OF COURSE,
NO WINDFALL PROFITS TAX ON THE OIL COMPANIES.

IT IS A RESOUNDING LIST OF NO'S
THAT ADD UP TO NO ENERGY SECURITY,
NO PROGRESS ON INFLATION,
AND NO END TO THE THREAT TO OUR SECURITY
FROM DEPENDENCE ON FOREIGN OIL.

I SAY WE CAN'T AFFORD TO THROW AWAY
THE PROGRESS ON ENERGY WE HAVE MADE!

ISSUE: ECONOMY

WE HAVE SERIOUS ECONOMIC CHALLENGES,
BUT THE OUTLOOK IS IMPROVING.

RECOVERY IS UNDER WAY,
INFLATION HAS BEEN REDUCED,
THE NUMBER OF JOBS IS RISING,
UNEMPLOYMENT IS FALLING.

TO STAY ON THE ROAD TO FULL RECOVERY,
WE HAVE TO KEEP ON ATTACKING THE LONG-RANGE PROBLEMS OF OUR ECONOMY,
ESPECIALLY ENERGY AND PRODUCTIVITY.

THIS IS A COUNTRY OF BUILDERS.

I HAVE SEEN THAT BUILDING SPIRIT ACROSS THIS LAND.

I'VE SEEN A MODERN STEEL PLANT,
A MODERN TEXTILE PLANT,
NEW ENERGY FACILITIES,
HIGH TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES.

I'VE SEEN THE FUEL-EFFICIENT 1981 CARS
COME OFF THE ASSEMBLY LINE.

WE ARE GOING TO DO A LOT MORE BUILDING IN THE YEARS AHEAD --

SYNFUELS PLANTS,
PORT FACILITIES,
RAILROADS,
COMPUTERS,
AND SOLAR HOMES.

A RENAISSANCE OF AMERICAN INDUSTRY!

MY OPPONENT SAYS THAT THE SOLUTION IS SIMPLE --

A MASSIVE TAX CUT FOR THE RICH.

\$15,000 FOR A FAMILY EARNING \$200,000.

A FAMILY MAKING \$12,000 WOULD GET BACK \$175 --

ONLY TO LOSE IT IN A WHIRLWIND OF NEW INFLATION.

ONLY 14 PERCENT OF THAT TAX SCHEME GOES FOR NEW INVESTMENTS.

THE REST WOULD POUR OUT HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS OF CONSUMER DOLLARS
WITHOUT FIRST BUILDING THE PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY TO MEET DEMAND.

THE RESULT WOULD BE A TREMENDOUS SURGE OF INFLATION.

BUSINESS WEEK AND FORMER PRESIDENT FORD

OPPOSED THIS TAX PLAN AS INFLATIONARY.

EVEN MY OPPONENT'S RUNNING MATE CALLED IT "VOODOO ECONOMICS."

HE SAID IT COULD LEAD

TO AN INFLATION RATE OF 30 PERCENT.

I THINK HE WAS RIGHT.

#

ISSUE: LABOR

I BELIEVE THAT WORKING FAMILIES
KNOW WHO IS ON THEIR SIDE IN THIS ELECTION --
THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY!

FOR 5 DECADES,
WE HAVE FOUGHT FOR THE RIGHTS OF WORKING PEOPLE.

WE ENACTED THE MINIMUM WAGE,
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE,
THE RIGHT TO BELONG TO A UNION.

IN THE LAST THREE-AND-A-HALF YEARS,
WE FOUGHT TOGETHER FOR COMMON SITU,
FOR LABOR LAW REFORM,
AND AGAINST THE REPEAL OF DAVIS-BACON.

MY OPPONENT'S VIEWS ARE A MATTER OF RECORD.
SOME YEARS AGO,
HE DESCRIBED PEOPLE DRAWING UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION AS,
QUOTE, "FREELoadERS WANTING A PRE-PAID VACATION PLAN."

LAST YEAR HE SAID "AMEN"
TO A PROPOSAL THAT OSHA BE ABOLISHED.

THIS YEAR HE SAID, QUOTE,
"THE MINIMUM WAGE HAS CAUSED MORE MISERY AND UNEMPLOYMENT
THAN ANYTHING SINCE THE GREAT DEPRESSION."

THE MAN WHO SAID THESE THINGS
IS PROCLAIMING HIMSELF A GREAT FRIEND OF LABOR.

HE LIKES TO PUT ON A HARDHAT AND QUOTE FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

IT IS A NEW SCRIPT --
BUT FOR REPUBLICANS IN ELECTION YEARS,
IT IS AN OLD PART.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT DESCRIBED IT PERFECTLY BACK IN 1944:

HE SAID,

"WE ALL KNOW THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN PEOPLE
WHO MAKE IT A PRACTICE TO DEPRECATE THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF LABOR,
WHO EVEN ATTACK LABOR AS UNPATRIOTIC.
THEY KEEP THIS UP USUALLY FOR 3 YEARS AND 6 MONTHS IN A ROW.
BUT THEN, FOR SOME STRANGE REASON,
THEY CHANGE THEIR TUNE --
EVERY 4 YEARS --
JUST BEFORE ELECTION DAY.
WHEN VOTES ARE AT STAKE,
THEY SUDDENLY DISCOVER THAT THEY REALLY LOVE LABOR,
AND THAT THEY ARE ANXIOUS TO PROTECT LABOR FROM ITS OLD FRIENDS,"
THE DEMOCRATS!

NOW THAT IS ONE QUOTE FROM F-D-R THAT MY OPPONENT DOESN'T USE!

ISSUE: SOCIAL JUSTICE

ALSO AT STAKE IN THE ELECTION
IS WHETHER WE CONTINUE TO BUILD SOCIAL JUSTICE IN THIS COUNTRY.
IT IS CLEAR WHERE I STAND.

I AM FOR VIGOROUS ENFORCEMENT OF OUR CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS.

I AM FOR THE OPEN HOUSING AMENDMENTS NOW BEFORE CONGRESS.

I AM FOR THE EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT.

I AM FOR NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE.

I AM FOR EXPANDED HOUSING PROGRAMS FOR THE ELDERLY.

I AM FOR AID TO CITIES.

I AM FOR AID TO OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

I AM FOR THE YOUTH BILL.

I AM FOR TOUGH SAFEGUARDS FOR OUR ENVIRONMENT.

I AM FOR STRONG CONSUMER PROTECTION.

MY OPPONENT'S POSITIONS ARE JUST AS CLEAR --
AND THEY COULD NOT BE MORE DIFFERENT FROM MINE.

X6 YEARS AGO, HE LAUNCHED HIS POLITICAL CAREER
AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE ANTI-MEDICARE LOBBY --
AND CALLED REPEATEDLY FOR MAKING SOCIAL SECURITY VOLUNTARY.

TODAY HE SAYS, QUOTE, "I AM FIRMLY OPPOSED
TO NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE."

AND TO A PROPOSAL TO ABOLISH OSHA, HE SAYS, "AMEN."

15 YEARS AGO, HE SAID THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT WAS,
QUOTE, "A BAD PIECE OF LEGISLATION."

THIS YEAR HE SAYS, QUOTE, "URBAN AID PROGRAMS
ARE ONE OF THE BIGGEST PHONIES THAT WE HAVE IN THE SYSTEM."

THIS YEAR HE BLAMED POLLUTION ON TREES -- AND VOLCANOES.
AFTER TAKING 3 DIFFERENT POSITIONS IN A SINGLE DAY,
HE DECIDED THE PROBLEM OF AIR POLLUTION HAD BEEN SOLVED.
THE NEXT DAY, HIS PLANE COULDN'T LAND IN LOS ANGELES
BECAUSE OF THE SMOG.

I GUESS THE PEOPLE OUT THERE
SHOULDN'T PLANT SO MANY TREES ON THEIR VOLCANOES.

#

15 YEARS AGO, HE SAID THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT WAS,
QUOTE, "A BAD PIECE OF LEGISLATION."

THIS YEAR HE SAYS, QUOTE, "URBAN AID PROGRAMS
ARE ONE OF THE BIGGEST PHONIES THAT WE HAVE IN THE SYSTEM."

ON THE ENVIRONMENT, 14 YEARS AGO HE SAID, QUOTE,
"A TREE'S A TREE -- HOW MANY MORE DO YOU NEED TO LOOK AT?"

THIS YEAR HE BLAMED POLLUTION ON TREES AND VOLCANOES.
AND HE IS RUNNING ON A PLATFORM
OF WEAKENING THE CLEAN AIR ACT.

#

ISSUE: EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT

ALL AMERICANS -- MEN AND WOMEN ALIKE --
SHOULD HAVE THE SAME FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS.
THOSE RIGHTS SHOULD BE ENSHRINED
WHERE THE RIGHTS OF AMERICANS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE ENSHRINED --
IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

THE EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT IS VERY SIMPLE.

IT SAYS, AND I QUOTE:

"EQUALITY OF RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW
SHALL NOT BE DENIED OR ABRIDGED BY THE UNITED STATES
OR ANY STATE ON ACCOUNT OF SEX."

THAT IS NOT A THREAT TO ANYTHING.

IT IS A MATTER OF BASIC FAIRNESS AND HUMAN RIGHTS.

IT IS ALSO A MATTER OF ECONOMIC JUSTICE.

WOMEN MAKE UP 43 PERCENT OF AMERICA'S WORK FORCE.

MOST FAMILIES DEPEND AT LEAST IN PART

ON THE MONEY WOMEN WORKERS BRING HOME.

YET FOR EVERY DOLLAR THAT MEN ARE PAID,

WOMEN ARE PAID ONLY 59 CENTS FOR THE SAME AMOUNT OF WORK.

THAT IS WRONG.

IN MANY PLACES,

WOMEN CANNOT WORK

OR BORROW

OR DISPOSE OF THEIR PROPERTY ON EQUAL TERMS.

THAT TOO IS WRONG.

MY SIX PREDECESSORS IN THE WHITE HOUSE,
REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS,
ALL SUPPORTED THE EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT.
THE REPUBLICAN PARTY ITSELF SUPPORTED IT FOR 40 YEARS.
BUT THIS YEAR, THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS AGAINST IT.

I SUPPORT E-R-A.

TOGETHER, WE ARE GOING TO PERSEVERE
UNTIL THE BATTLE IS WON --
AND THE EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT
IS INSCRIBED IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

#

ISSUE: DEFENSE

AS LONG AS I AM PRESIDENT,
WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A STRONG NATION.
ONLY THROUGH STRENGTH CAN WE STAY AT PEACE.

IN 7 OF THE LAST 8 YEARS BEFORE I BECAME PRESIDENT,
WHEN THE REPUBLICANS WERE IN THE WHITE HOUSE,
WE HAD A DECREASE IN REAL FUNDS FOR OUR NATION'S DEFENSE.
SINCE I HAVE BEEN IN OFFICE,
WE HAVE HAD A STEADY, ORDERLY, AND EFFECTIVE INCREASE
IN OUR ABILITY TO DEFEND THIS NATION -- EVERY SINGLE YEAR.

¶ WHEN WE CAME INTO OFFICE,
THERE WAS NO LONG-RANGE CRUISE MISSILE PROGRAM FOR OUR B-52'S.
NOW WE HAVE ONE.

¶ THERE WAS NO NEW BATTLE TANK OR MODERN ARMORED VEHICLE.
NOW THEY ARE COMING OFF THE PRODUCTION LINES.

¶ THERE WAS NO ANSWER
TO THE INCREASING VULNERABILITY OF OUR ICBMS.
NOW THERE IS AN ANSWER -- THE MOBILE MX MISSILE.

¶ THERE WAS A GROWING MISSILE GAP IN EUROPE.
NOW WE ARE TAKING ACTIONS TO CLOSE IT.

¶ THERE WAS NO ALLIED PLAN
FOR STRENGTHENING OUR FORCES IN EUROPE.
NOW WE HAVE A TEN-YEAR PLAN THAT COMMITS ALL THE ALLIES
TO MAKE INCREASED DEFENSE EFFORTS.

THE STATE OF OUR DEFENSE
IS A LEGITIMATE SUBJECT FOR POLITICAL DEBATE.

BUT IN EVERY CAMPAIGN WHICH CHARGED THAT THE RUSSIANS ARE AHEAD,
THAT CHARGE HAS BEEN FALSE.

THE LATEST EXAMPLE IS MY OPPONENT'S CHARGE
THAT WE DO NOT HAVE ADEQUATE FACILITIES
TO KEEP OPEN THE STRAITS OF HORMUZ.

THAT CHARGE IS FALSE.

IN FACT WE HAVE THE MOST POWERFUL NAVAL FORCE
EVERY ASSEMBLED IN THE INDIAN OCEAN.

WITH TWO CARRIER TASK FORCES IN THAT REGION,
WE HAVE OVERWHELMING NAVAL AND AIR SUPERIORITY.

EACH OF THE F-14 AIRCRAFT ON OUR CARRIERS
CAN ENGAGE AND SHOOT DOWN 6 ENEMY AIRCRAFT SIMULTANEOUSLY.

WHEN I TOOK OFFICE

WE HAD VIRTUALLY NO DEFENSE CAPABILITY IN THE REGION.

TODAY --

IF WE HAVE TO ACT TO KEEP THE STRAITS OPEN

TO PROTECT OUR VITAL INTERESTS --

WE ARE READY.

#

ISSUE: ARMS CONTROL

*Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes*

IN ADDITION TO OUR MILITARY PROGRAMS,
WE MUST WORK TO CONTROL THE TERRIBLE
WEAPONS OF NUCLEAR ANNIHILATION.

I CONSIDER THIS TO BE AN OVERRIDING ISSUE OF THIS CAMPAIGN.

35 YEARS AFTER HIROSHIMA,
THE DARK SHADOW OF NUCLEAR TERROR
STILL HANGS OVER THE WORLD.

WE HAVE LIVED WITH THAT TERROR FOR SO LONG
THAT WE ARE IN DANGER OF BECOMING ALMOST COMPLACENT ABOUT IT.
WE MUST NOT DO THAT!

OVER THE LAST 20 YEARS,
WE HAVE TAKEN THE FIRST TENTATIVE STEPS
AWAY FROM THE NUCLEAR PRECIPICE.
WE MUST STAY ON THAT ROAD!

*50 TONS/CAR
> 200 MILES LONG
400 ENGINES*

ON THE WHOLE QUESTION OF NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL,
MY VIEWS AND GOVERNOR REAGAN'S
COULD NOT BE MORE DIFFERENT.

I SUPPORT NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL
AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF OUR NATIONAL SECURITY.

MR. REAGAN BOASTS THAT HE WOULD TEAR UP THE SALT TREATY.
HE BELIEVES THAT WE WOULD BE WELL ADVISED TO THREATEN, QUOTE,
"THE POSSIBILITY OF AN ARMS RACE," UNQUOTE --

DESPITE THE HORRENDOUS COST
AND THE TERRIBLE DANGERS
AND THE CERTAINTY
THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD SQUEEZE THEIR PEOPLE AS HARD AS NECESSARY
TO KEEP UP.

I ALSO BELIEVE THAT AMERICA MUST LEAD THE EFFORT
TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL NATIONS FROM OBTAINING NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

MR. REAGAN THINKS --
AS HE SAID THIS YEAR --
THAT NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION IS, QUOTE,
"NONE OF OUR BUSINESS."

I THINK IT IS OUR BUSINESS --
AND THE BUSINESS OF EVERY GOVERNMENT
AND EVERY HUMAN BEING ON EARTH.

#

ISSUE: FOREIGN POLICY

THE ISSUE OF NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL IS A REMINDER
THAT MILITARY STRENGTH ALONE
IS NOT ENOUGH TO ASSURE OUR SECURITY
AND BUILD THE KIND OF WORLD WE WANT.
WE MUST ALSO BE STRONG IN OUR DIPLOMACY
AND IN OUR COMMITMENT TO OUR OWN VALUES.

THAT IS HOW WE WON THE HISTORIC CAMP DAVID ACCORDS
AND THE TREATY OF PEACE BETWEEN ISRAEL
AND HER MOST POWERFUL ARAB NEIGHBOR, EGYPT.
INSTEAD OF KILLING EACH OTHER,
EGYPTIANS AND ISRAELIS EXCHANGE AMBASSADORS.
INSTEAD OF WARPLANES,
EGYPTIANS AND ISRAELIS SEE PASSENGER PLANES FLYING BETWEEN THEM.

IN ADDITION,
WE HAVE STEADILY STRENGTHENED OUR ALLIANCES
WITH EUROPE AND JAPAN.

WE HAVE BUILT A SOLID NEW RELATIONSHIP
WITH THE ONE BILLION PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN CHINA.

WE HAVE PUT AMERICA BACK ON THE SIDE OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS
AROUND THE WORLD.

AS LONG AS I AM PRESIDENT,
AMERICA WILL HOLD HIGH THE BANNER OF HUMAN RIGHTS!

WE HAVE BEEN ACTIVE IN PROMOTING PEACEFUL SETTLEMENTS
OF DISPUTES AROUND THE WORLD.

WE DID IT IN AFRICA, IN ZIMBABWE-RHODESIA.

WE DID IT IN CENTRAL AMERICA,

THROUGH THE PANAMA CANAL TREATIES,

WHICH OPENED A NEW ERA IN INTER-AMERICAN RELATIONS.

WE DID IT IN MANY PLACES AROUND THE WORLD.

EVERY SMALL CONFLICT HOLDS THE SEEDS OF WIDER CONFLICT.

THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS CRISES SINCE I BECAME PRESIDENT.

EACH TIME ONE OCCURS --

AT WHATEVER HOUR OF THE DAY OR NIGHT --

ONE PERSON ALONE --

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES --

MUST DETERMINE WHAT OUR REAL INTERESTS REQUIRE

AND WHAT ACTION OUR COUNTRY MUST TAKE.

I AM DEEPLY GRATEFUL THAT THROUGHOUT ALL THAT HAS HAPPENED,

WE HAVE HAD NO WAR THESE PAST FOUR YEARS.

THAT IS NO ACCIDENT.

IT IS THE RESULT OF THE CAREFUL EXERCISE

OF ALL FORMS OF AMERICAN NATIONAL STRENGTH.

I AM PASSIONATELY

COMMITTED TO PEACE IN THE FUTURE.

ALL AMERICANS WANT PEACE.

I AM SURE THAT INCLUDES MY OPPONENT.

BUT AMERICANS MUST CONSIDER THE POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES
OF HIS HABIT OF CALLING FOR THE USE OF FORCE.

IN 1975,

HE CALLED FOR SENDING AMERICAN FORCES TO ECUADOR AND ANGOLA.

IN 1976,

IT WAS RHODESIA AND CYPRUS.

THIS YEAR --

SO FAR --

IT WAS CUBA, PAKISTAN AND THE MIDDLE EAST.

A POLICY THAT EFFECTIVELY SERVES OUR NATIONAL INTERESTS

MUST BE BASED NOT ONLY ON OUR STRENGTH,

BUT ALSO ON OUR WISDOM

AND JUDGEMENT

IN USING THAT STRENGTH.

#

MODERATION

A BALANCED, MODERATE APPROACH
IS THE ONLY KIND
THAT MAKES SENSE IN THE COMPLEX WORLD OF TODAY.
POLICIES THAT LOOK AT JUST ONE SIDE OF A QUESTION
DON'T WORK --
JUST AS A PLANE WITH ONLY ONE WING
CAN'T FLY.

IN ENERGY,
WE NEED BOTH PRODUCTION AND CONSERVATION.

IN OUR ECONOMY,
WE NEED BOTH PRIVATE INVESTMENT IN TOOLS AND FACTORIES
AND PUBLIC INVESTMENT IN PORTS AND MASS TRANSIT.

IN NATIONAL DEFENSE,
WE NEED BOTH MILITARY STRENGTH
AND MUTUAL, BALANCED NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL.

OUR PROBLEMS ARE COMPLEX.
THAT DOES NOT MEAN THEY CANNOT BE SOLVED.
BUT IT DOES MEAN THAT SIMPLISTIC, ONE-SIDED SOLUTIONS
ARE NO SOLUTION AT ALL.

I THINK I STAND WHERE MOST AMERICANS STAND ON THE ISSUES --
FOR A BALANCED APPROACH,
A MODERATE APPROACH,
AN APPROACH THAT TAKES THE BEST FROM BOTH SIDES.

FACING THE PEOPLE

ON SEPTEMBER 25, THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OFFERED TO SPONSOR A ONE-ON-ONE DEBATE BETWEEN GOVERNOR REAGAN AND ME -- TO BE FOLLOWED BY A DEBATE WITH THE TWO OF US PLUS CONGRESSMAN ANDERSON.

I IMMEDIATELY ACCEPTED, AS DID MR. ANDERSON.

MR. REAGAN REFUSED.

I REGRET HIS REFUSAL, BUT IT IS A FACT. IT IS ALSO A FACT THAT HE DOES NOT ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE PRESS OR THE PUBLIC, BECAUSE HIS ADVISERS ARE AFRAID OF WHAT HE MIGHT SAY.

I AM STILL EAGER TO DEBATE MR. REAGAN FACE-TO-FACE -- ABOVE ALL, ON THE SUBJECT OF THE CONTROL OF NUCLEAR ARMS. EVEN A DEBATE LIMITED TO THIS ONE CRUCIAL ISSUE WOULD BE A GREAT SERVICE TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

A PRESIDENT CAN'T AVOID TOUGH QUESTIONS. I DON'T BELIEVE A CANDIDATE SHOULD EITHER. THE AMERICAN PEOPLE DESERVE TO HEAR FROM THE CANDIDATES IN AN OPEN, SPONTANEOUS, UNREHEARSED WAY. THAT IS WHY I ANSWER HUNDREDS OF QUESTIONS EVERY WEEK -- FROM REPORTERS, IN NEWS CONFERENCES AND INTERVIEWS, AND FROM ORDINARY CITIZENS IN TOWN MEETINGS AND IN THEIR OWN HOMES.

REPUBLICANS AND THE DEMOCRATIC TRADITION

IF YOU HAVE BEEN LISTENING TO THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE,
THEN YOU KNOW HE IS TRYING TO WRAP HIMSELF
IN THE MANTLE OF GREAT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTS.

BUT IT HAPPENS EVERY ELECTION YEAR.

HERE IS WHAT FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT SAID BACK IN 1944
ABOUT HOW REPUBLICANS CHANGE THEIR TUNE AT ELECTION TIME:

"THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF REPUBLICAN ORATORY THESE DAYS
SEEMS TO BE TO SWITCH LABELS...
NOW, IMITATION MAY BE THE SINCEREST FORM OF FLATTERY,
BUT I AM AFRAID THAT IN THIS CASE,
IT IS THE MOST OBVIOUS COMMON-OR-GARDEN VARIETY OF FRAUD."

AND NOW THE REPUBLICANS HAVE THE NERVE TO QUOTE F-D-R HIMSELF!

JOHN KENNEDY PREDICTED IT BACK IN 1960
WHEN HE SAID OF THE REPUBLICANS,

"THEY ARE EVEN BEGINNING TO SAY A FEW KIND WORDS
ABOUT FRANKLIN ROOSEVELT.
HARRY TRUMAN, OF COURSE --
20 YEARS FROM NOW THEY MIGHT EVEN SPEAK A GOOD WORD FOR HIM --
BUT HE WON'T ABOUT THEM!"

AND I PREDICT THAT 20 YEARS FROM NOW,
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES WILL BE SAYING NICE THINGS
ABOUT JIMMY CARTER'S SECOND TERM --
BUT LET'S LICK 'EM THIS YEAR JUST TO MAKE SURE THEY DO!

REAGAN QUOTING DEMOCRATS

THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN 1980 SAYS THAT, QUOTE,
"FASCISM WAS REALLY THE BASIS FOR THE NEW DEAL."

DO YOU THINK THAT F-D-R --

THE FATHER OF THE NEW DEAL --

WOULD HAVE WANTED TO BE QUOTED BY THAT CANDIDATE?

THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN 1980 SAYS, QUOTE,

"I'M OPPOSED TO NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE.

THERE IS NO HEALTH CRISIS IN AMERICA."

DO YOU THINK THAT HARRY TRUMAN --

WHO FIRST PROPOSED NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE --

WOULD BE ROOTING FOR THAT CANDIDATE TODAY?

THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN 1980

CALLS THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM, QUOTE, "A MASSIVE RIPOFF."

WOULD LYNDON B. JOHNSON HAVE LIKED THE SOUND OF THAT?

THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN 1980 SAYS

WE SHOULD THREATEN A NEW NUCLEAR ARMS RACE.

DO YOU IMAGINE THAT JOHN F. KENNEDY --

WHO NEGOTIATED THE NUCLEAR TEST BAN TREATY --

WOULD HAVE AGREED WITH THAT?

OF COURSE NOT --

AND NEITHER WOULD ANY OF OUR PRESIDENTS SINCE TRUMAN --

REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS ALIKE --

BECAUSE EVERY ONE OF THEM SOUGHT TO CONTROL

THE TERRIBLE WEAPONS OF NUCLEAR ANNIHILATION.

WHAT YOU MUST DO

I APPRECIATE WHAT YOU HAVE DONE OVER THE YEARS.

I CONGRATULATE YOU AND I THANK YOU.

YOU HAVE GIVEN THIS PARTY YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS AND YOUR HEARTS.

BUT NONE OF US CAN WALK AWAY SATISFIED

THAT WE HAVE FULFILLED OUR OBLIGATIONS.

THE JOB IS NOT YET DONE.

WE STILL MUST HAVE YOUR LEADERSHIP,

YOUR VOICE,

YOUR DEDICATION,

YOUR ENERGY

AND YOUR SPIRIT.

GIVE US ALL THAT,

AND, WORKING TOGETHER,

WE WILL WIN IN NOVEMBER!

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

IN 1960, IF JUST 28,000 PEOPLE IN TEXAS

AND A FEW THOUSAND IN ILLINOIS HAD VOTED DIFFERENTLY,

JOHN F. KENNEDY WOULD NEVER HAVE SERVED IN THE WHITE HOUSE.

IN 1968, IF ALL OF US HAD DONE JUST A LITTLE MORE,

HUBERT HUMPHREY WOULD HAVE BEEN OUR PRESIDENT

INSTEAD OF RICHARD NIXON.

Wallace

NOW, IN 1980, THERE IS MORE AT STAKE THAN EVER.

VISION OF THE FUTURE

I HAVE DESCRIBED THE ELECTION OF 1980
AS A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO FUTURES.

HERE IS WHAT I SEE IN THE FUTURE WE ARE FIGHTING FOR TOGETHER --

¶ I SEE A NATION AT PEACE --

¶ A NATION STRONG ENOUGH TO BE SECURE
IN ITS PURSUIT OF PROGRESS FOR PEOPLE --

¶ A NATION WHERE EVERYONE
CAN HAVE THE DIGNITY OF A DECENT JOB --
WHERE NEW INDUSTRIES CREATE A NEW GENERATION
OF AMERICAN BUILDINGS AND VEHICLES
THAT WILL HOUSE US AND MOVE US IN COMFORT --
ON A LOT LESS ENERGY --

¶ A NATION WHERE CHILDREN ARE EDUCATED
TO THEIR MAXIMUM POTENTIAL --
WHERE THE ELDERLY
ARE TREATED WITH THE RESPECT THEY HAVE EARNED --
WHERE FAMILIES ARE INTACT AND SECURE.

I HAVE A VISION OF A NATION FREE ENOUGH TO ATTRACT --
AND STRONG ENOUGH TO WELCOME --
THE DEPRIVED FROM OTHER PARTS OF THE WORLD --
A NATION OF LIBERTY, AND JUSTICE, AND LOVE.

I NEED YOUR HELP TO MAKE THIS VISION A REALITY.

TOGETHER, LET US MAKE THE GREATEST NATION ON EARTH EVEN GREATER.

GOALS FOR A SECOND TERM

In talking about your vision of the future, you can place it in the context of your second term goals. For instance, you can say that over the next four years you are committed to:

1. Preserving the peace

You want to have eight years of uninterrupted peace - something we have not had for more than 50 years.

2. Strengthening our defenses

You are committed to continuing the real increase in defense spending and to improving our strategic and conventional capabilities.

3. SALT II

You are committed to achieving ratification of SALT II and to further nuclear arms control negotiations with the Soviets.

4. Human Rights

You are pledged to continue a policy of seeking human rights abroad and to making certain that no nation doubts our strong support for human rights.

5. Middle East Peace

You are determined to bring peace to the Middle East.

6. Revitalizing our economy

You will make passage of the Economic Revitalization Program your highest legislative priority in the new Congress. You are committed to the policies which ensure strengthened economic growth, increased investment, improved productivity, and reduced tax burdens; and you are determined to make our key industries -- like autos and steel -- the most productive and competitive in the world.

7. Continued Job Creation

You are committed to continuing the record-level rate of job creation we have had the past 4 years.

8. Reduced Inflation

You are committed to continuing a tough anti-inflation policy, to ensure the continued decline in the inflation rate.

9. Reducing Foreign Oil Dependence

You are committed to continuing the reduction in our dependence on foreign oil, as well as to increasing our commitment to the development of alternative energy sources, like coal-based synthetics and solar.

10. Enacting National Health Insurance

You are committed to enact comprehensive National Health Insurance.

11. ERA

You are determined to achieve the ratification of ERA as soon as possible.

12. Minorities and Women

You are pledged to continue increased economic and government (appointment) opportunities for minorities and women.

13. Social Security

You are committed to ensuring the fiscal strength of Social Security without cutting benefits.

14. Exports

You are committed to continuing the record increase in American exports, particularly exports of our agricultural products.

15. Urban Policy

You are committed to the continued mobilization of our urban areas.

Economic Talking Points

President Carter has advanced a hopeful and positive approach to the economy. He has set forth a sensible and fair economic agenda for the '80s.

1. In an election year, he fought successfully to avoid the massive, inflationary Reagan-Kemp-Roth tax cut. For 1981, as part of his Economic Revitalization Program, he has proposed a tax cut we can afford--\$27 billion--that will not rekindle inflation. It focuses on spurring investment in basic industry and small business, and on anti-inflationary social security tax relief. Half of these funds will be used to revitalize business and industry. As budget and economic conditions permit, additional funds for tax relief and economic renewal can be provided in future years.
2. Over the past two years he has established a new tripartite working relationship between government, business and labor to cooperatively remove barriers to growth and productivity. Already this approach has been used to develop strong, coordinated policies for the special problems of the auto and steel industries--policies which embrace issues including trade, regulation and tax policy.
3. He has designed specific programs to train the unemployed, young people and those displaced by industrial change for new work in new jobs with a future.
4. He is fighting in the Congress for help for communities in trouble through \$1 billion in countercyclical revenue sharing, and for targeted unemployment compensation for those in need of it.
5. His budget contains a strong new infusion of resources to our basic research community, including the great universities and innovative small businesses, so that the creative roots of our economy will remain strong.
6. He has made tough decisions on energy. And his policies have helped reduce oil imports by more than 2 million barrels per day, and to dramatically increase our production of energy from coal, our search for oil, and our development of alternate fuels. He is strengthening our energy conservation efforts with more than \$1 billion--in resources added to the solar and conservation bank, weatherization of low income houses, public housing and public buildings, and related purposes.

7. He has fought for budget control and for wage/price restraint when it was not popular. Congress has for the first time in history committed through the "reconciliation" process to cut its spending by \$10 billion. And the inflation rate is coming down while the economy is beginning to grow again.
8. With deregulation of airlines, trucking, rail and banking, President Carter has been responsible for the most fundamental restructuring of government's relationship with business since the New Deal--to free up competitive forces, fight inflation and strengthen these basic industries.

The Carter approach is sound, pragmatic, moderate . . . and it will work.

* * * * *

Governor Reagan's second economic program since the Republican Convention, announced on September 9, stands in stark contrast.

1. Mr. Reagan's program has one and only one guiding idea--more than half a trillion dollars in Reagan-Kemp-Roth tax cuts over the next five years, and a trillion dollars in the next seven years. At the same time, he promises us a balanced budget, record defense spending, and he refuses to name a single domestic program he will cut. On the surface, this program is plainly illogical. Underneath, when you look at the numbers, it is worse: it is unsound, inflationary, and unfair.
2. This new program calls for \$531 billion in tax cuts over the next 5 years. More than 86% would go for across-the-board personal income tax cuts. In 1983, a family making \$12,000 would get \$175. A family making \$200,000 would get \$15,000.

Less than 14% of this massive tax cut would go to encourage American businesses, large and small, to invest in new plants and equipment we need to keep Americans at work. In fact, the main difference between Ronald Reagan's first and second economic programs was the cancellation of more than \$70 billion in investment incentives over 5 years.

3. And Governor Reagan's simplistic approach does not even mention our needs for public investment to train our youth and displaced workers, to help families and communities adapt to economic change, or to improve critical public facilities--our highways, rail and barge networks and our parks.
4. Together with his massive Kemp-Roth tax cut, Governor Reagan proposes to increase defense spending at the annual rate of 15% over those years, at a cost of \$62 billion. And so to balance his budget, by his own accounting, Mr. Reagan calls for a minimum of \$195 billion and a goal of \$301 billion in domestic budget cuts over the next 5 years--reaching \$64-\$92 billion in 1985 alone.
5. Mr. Reagan says he'll balance the budget in 1983, and achieve a surplus in 1985. But Mr. Reagan uses budget assumptions you would have to be a member of his campaign staff to believe. Using more realistic estimates, OMB finds that every nickle of Mr. Reagan's budget cuts would not be enough to counter his massive tax giveaways and balance the budget by 1985. In fact, his program would guarantee multi-billion dollar deficits for the next 5 years and destroy any hope of a balanced budget.
6. Where will his \$200 to \$300 billion in domestic cuts come from? Mr. Reagan has said he will not cut Social Security, Medicare and other "entitlement" programs, which together with interest on the debt and other relatively fixed costs amount to 55% of the budget. He will not cut defense--another 25%. That means virtually all of the cuts must come from the remaining 20%--mostly aid to states and local government. Mr. Reagan won't say where he would cut. But he has given us some hints:

On August 5, 1980, he said "Our ultimate goal should be to transfer from the federal government back to the states and localities programs--such as welfare and education--which the federal government has usurped and has not managed well, along with the tax sources to pay for them."
(Text of Urban League Speech)

On February 1, 1980, he said " Urban aid programs, I think, are one of the biggest phonies that we have in the system and have had for a number of years."

- . On the radio in 1978-79, he said "Unemployment compensation is "little more than paid vacation for freeloaders."
- . And last January he said "It makes no sense to collect money on the federal level only to return it back to the state. The federal government should not be collecting the funds to help the poor."

But perhaps Mr. Reagan does not intend to cut these programs--perhaps he intends to cut revenue sharing (nearly \$7 billion), housing aid (\$3 billion), mass transit (\$5 billion), community and regional development, (\$10 billion), or help for veterans (\$10 billion)-- or aid for our farmers.

7. We cannot know where Mr. Reagan wants to cut. But we do know that accomplishing his goals will require a massive shift of responsibilities to state and local governments. If state and local governments pick up all the Federal programs that would be cut to balance Mr. Reagan's budget, state and local property and sales and income taxes would rise by at least \$1,000 per household by 1985, and probably by much more. And that's a lot more than the average family will get back from the Reagan-Kemp-Roth tax cut.
8. Alternately, Mr. Reagan may fail to secure Congress' agreement to the spending cuts. If spending continues at a "current services" level there would be inflationary deficits ranging from \$100 to \$200 billion each year in 1983-85. And the price of these deficits would be double digit inflation, high interest rates and economic dislocation for the foreseeable future.
9. These are the stark choices offered by the Reagan program: either massive state and local tax increases; or sharp reductions in basic services; or escalating inflation. It seems hard to believe that a Republican candidate for President would put forward so irrational a program. That is precisely why George Bush called this program "voodoo economics", and "a blueprint for economic chaos", and why former President Ford has refused to endorse it.

REAGAN QUOTES

1. Nuclear Arms Race - "The one card that's been missing in these [SALT] negotiations has been the possibility of an arms race." AP Interview, Oct 1, '80.
2. Nuclear Non-Proliferation - "I just don't think it's (non-proliferation) any of our business." Washington Post, Jan 31, '80.
3. Response to Afghanistan - "One option might well be that we surround the island of Cuba and stop all traffic in and out." NY Times, Jan 29, '80.
4. Sending Troops - While you need not read the quotes directly, you can repeatedly list the countries or areas to which Reagan has said he would send American troops -- Cuba, Cyprus, Equador, Lebanon, Middle East, North Korea, Pakistan, Portugal and Rhodesia.
5. After disclosure of Russian brigade in Cuba - "If the Russians want to buy wheat from us, I wouldn't sell it to them." LA Times, Sep 30, '79.
6. China - "Yes I will advocate restoring official government status to the Taipei office." LA Times, May 19, '80.
7. Vietnam War - "Was a noble cause." Aug 18, '80.
- War and Nuclear Threats - "No one would cheerfully want to use atomic weapons ... But ... the last person in the world who should know we wouldn't use them is the enemy. He should go to bed every night afraid that we might." LA Times, July 3, '67.
8. Minimum Wage - "The minimum wage has caused more misery and unemployment than anything since the Great Depression." Wall Street Journal, Jan 30, '80.
9. Unemployment Insurance - "Legitimate working men and women are being sacrificed to provide prepaid vacations for a segment of our society which has made this a way of life." April 26, '66.
10. Humphrey-Hawkins - "Carter's approach to unemployment: he's for the Humphrey-Hawkins bill. If ever there was a design for fascism that's it. Fascism was really the basis for the New Deal." Time Interview, May 17, '76.
11. Labor Law Reform - "[Labor law reform would result in] ... a largely unorganized management pitted against an efficient and effective union effort." Las Vegas Sun Interview, June 4, '78.

12. Davis-Bacon - "Davis-Bacon ... a needless burden on local taxpayers, a gift of tax funds to the privileged workers." Jefferson City, Missouri Post Interview, Oct 18, '79.
13. Unions - "I believe that labor union leaders themselves have accumulated power that we should look very closely at whether they should not be bound as business is by the antitrust laws." New York Times, April 23, '80.
14. Evolution - "I have a great many questions about evolution... And I think the recent discoveries over the years have pointed out great flaws in it." Dallas Times Herald, Aug 23, '80
15. Religion and Schools - "let's get government out of the classroom and maybe we can get God back in." Rocky Mountain News, June 7, '78.
16. Constitutional Amendments - While you need not repeat the quotes, you can point out that Reagan has indicated support for Constitutional amendments to prohibit abortion, permit school prayer, prohibit busing, and require a balanced budget.
17. '64 Civil Rights Act - "a bad piece of legislation." Quoted in The Rise of Ronald Reagan, '68.
18. States' Rights - "I believe in states' rights." Philadelphia, Mississippi, Aug 4, '80.
19. New York City - "As a matter of fact, I have included in my morning and evening prayers every day the prayer the federal government will not bail out New York City." Interview, Oct 8, '80
20. Urban Aid - "Urban aid programs are one of the biggest phonies that we have in the system and have had for a number of years." Interview, Feb 1, '80.
21. Chrysler Loan - "What's wrong with bankruptcy?" Newsweek, Oct 1, '79.
22. Energy - "What needs to be done is for the government to repeal the energy legislation and then turn the industry loose." LA Times, May 16, '76.
23. Anti-Nuclear Advocates - "I've already spoken about the anti-nuclear power people and the fact that behind the scenes they are being manipulated by forces sympathetic to the Soviet Union." Radio Broadcast, July, '79.
24. National Health Insurance - "I'm opposed to National Health Insurance. There is no health care crisis in America." U.S. News & World Report Interview, March '76.

25. The Unemployed and Welfare Recipients - "...Freeloaders wanting a prepaid vacation plan." San Francisco Chronicle, April 22, '66.

October 7, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: STU EIZENSTAT *SE*
DAVID RUBENSTEIN

SUBJECT: Reagan Quotes

As we have discussed, if you decide to continue to attack Governor Reagan, I believe the most effective way is simply by quoting him. If you continuously repeat a number of his quotes, obviously using the ones most appropriate for a particular audience, the press will begin to pick it up and Reagan will eventually be forced to respond. This also avoids charges of "overkill" or hyperbole.

The context for the quotes should be repeated statements by you pointing out the folly of Reagan now saying -- in light of what he has said in the past -- that he is for helping the unemployed or for helping workers. You could use these by saying, "I don't think the American people want a President who believes that . . .", or "How can Mr. Reagan say he is concerned about the unemployed when he says that . . ." I believe the press and the public would be receptive to this so long as it avoids characterization and sticks to actual quotes.

Attached are the quotes I would recommend for repeated usage.

'Chip' Carter, Hailing Peace Pact President Helped Attain, Pledges No Change in Policy on Israel

By BERTHOLD GASTER

WEST HARTFORD — As leader of the first Hartford-Tel Aviv Friendship Flight two and a half years ago, James Earl "Chip" Carter, Jr., has a particularly warm spot in his heart for Israel.

That is one reason, he said last week, he was quite satisfied that "Israel is safer because it has a good peace treaty with Egypt" which, he added, his father had helped attain.

The 29-year-old son of the President was in the Hartford area campaigning for his father's re-election and stopped at the LEDGER's offices to be interviewed.

"There will be absolutely no change in policy toward Israel after the election," "Chip" Carter declared as he sought to allay the fears expressed by some. "Dad has told me in private that there will be no such change. He has had a consistent policy toward Israel — and that is that it is one of our staunchest allies."

There will be no recognition of the PLO as long as it does not recognize Israel's right to exist, Mr. Carter added, as the United States continues its role in trying to achieve a comprehensive peace.

A former Councilman in

Plains, Ga., Mr. Carter has served as a liaison man with state and local officials around the country between elections and is continuing in that role during the current campaign. He has frequently represented the President at national and international events.

"Over the last four years," Mr. Carter told us, "there has been more aid to Israel than in the previous 28 years of its existence. And even with austerity budgets and trying to balance those budgets, aid to Israel is being increased with each budget."

For Undivided Jerusalem

"It's important for everyone to know," "Chip" Carter continued, "that Dad is for an undivided Jerusalem, with free access for all religions. He's against a Palestinian state on Israel's borders. Most important, the policy of the next four years is going to be just like that of the last four years."

Asked about his uncle, Billy Carter, and his Libyan connection and his anti-Semitic

statements, "Chip" Carter replied:

"Dad doesn't think like Billy does. We love Billy, but we repudiate his statements. He won't influence American foreign policy; in fact, he's spent the last four years convincing people that he has no influence."

"Chip" reiterated his father's definition of Libya as a harbor of refuge for terrorists and his belief that the PLO is an organization of terrorists.

Moreover, he cited a Presidential press conference, held Feb. 27, 1979, during

(Continued on Page 4)

Neo-Nazi Demonstration Is Shock to

BRUSSELS (JTA) — A Nazi and racist demonstration held in Antwerp last Sunday has caused deep shock in Belgium. The demonstration was organized by the rightwing Vlaamsch Nationaal Verzet (VNV) party.

(Continued on Page 4)

BERTHOLD GASTER
CO-PUBLISHER • MANAGING EDITOR

PHONE 233-2148

THE CONNECTICUT
Jewish Ledger

CHIP

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
2475 ALBANY AVENUE • WEST HARTFORD, CONN. 06117

'Chip' Carter

(Continued from Page 1)

which the President asserted that Billy "is not anti-Semitic and has never made a serious critical remark against Jews or other people in our country. To the extent that any of his remarks might be interpreted as such, I certainly do not agree and do not associate with them (the remarks.)"

Adding that Billy was seriously ill at the time, the President declared: "I disassociate myself and my brother Billy from any allegations of remarks that might be anti-Semitic in nature."

"Chip" Carter continued by pointing out that energy is an important issue in this campaign. He cited the windfall profits tax and the reduction of two million barrels of oil a day in imports as successful measures in this area.

On Prayers Being Heard

Asked to comment on recent statements by southern Baptist leaders that Jews' prayers cannot be heard except through Jesus, Mr. Carter said:

"Dad is a southern Baptist. He believes all three religions — Jews, Christians and Moslems — pray to the same God in their own way. He felt this binding force between himself and Prime Minister Begin and President Sadat."

"Dad knows the Bible; he understands Israel's right to exist and its fulfillment of biblical prophesy. He also doesn't believe anyone should condemn anyone else's religion, or that one religion should be forced on anyone."

He certainly is against the Moral Majority. He believes that one can be liberal and religious.

Mr. Carter added that the President, Sadat and Begin want to go down in history as the three leaders who brought peace to the Middle East.

"All three being religious," said Carter, "had created a mutual trust, and there is no barrier there because of this trust."

He also stated that because the President is "a traditional Democrat who believes in progressive economic and social policies, he deserves the support of Jewish Americans because of their record of fighting for the elderly, the sick — the people who have..."

Mr. Carter also warned that a Moral Majority commitment to the appointment of judges would place Christians first in line for such appointments. "People should be elected on merit, not on the basis of religion," he averred.

Recalling his hope of association with the first Friendship Flight to Israel, Mr. Carter said he looks forward to becoming the new Israeli ambassador when they come to on Dec. 22.

"I won't be able to meet with our group going over there this

weekend. I'll be a little busy campaigning until Nov. 4," he said with a big grin.

He remembered staying in Tel Aviv with Moshe Shamir, chairman of Israel's Friendship Force, and continuing their friendship in this country, which Mr. Shamir has since visited several times.

"His neighbor was Moshe Dayan," Mr. Carter recalled, "and he threw a big reception for us. Afterwards, he showed me his archaeological collection."

A tour of Masada, followed by a two-hour session with Deputy Prime Minister Yigael Yadin, a famous archaeologist who gave the American visitor a German-language copy of his book on Masada — also ranks high on "Chip" Carter's Israel memory list. ("I enjoyed the pictures, but I bought the English-language version later so I could read the book," Mr. Carter added.)

He also met with Prime Minister Begin, whom he was to see again later in the year when he dropped in at Camp David during the famous summit.

Mr. Carter called the Friendship Force experience great for all concerned.

"Living with a family, going to work with the husband, visiting a Kibbutz — all this gave me a better understanding of a little country I had only known through my study of the Bible," he explained.

In this kind of exchange one learns how similar are the problems and concerns of people who live thousands of miles apart, Mr. Carter said.

Israelis have the same problems, the same goals, the same dreams and ideas as we have over here, Mr. Carter declared. "I know I profited immensely from the experience of being there and I've been anxious to keep up the contacts I made."

Neo-Nazi

(Continued from Page 1)

disrupt the demonstration, but police prevented them from approaching the demonstrators. Most political leaders condemned the Antwerp demonstration.

Moves to Protect Jews
Meanwhile, Belgian Jewish leaders severely condemned the synagogue bombing in Paris last Friday and called on all European governments to take firm and vigorous steps to halt the resurgence of neo-Nazism.

In a radio address, Belgian Interior Minister Phil Moureaux expressed solidarity with three Jewish communities announced that Belgium taken a series of measures to fight a revival of Nazism. He said that tougher measures be taken if necessary.

Moureaux, on the Belgian community temple